

Your Congressional District

**TX-28** 

Rep. Henry Cuellar

(Democrat)

# This is what Republican plans would mean for your community.

\$314,266

\$2 Trillion

Average annual tax cut for the richest 0.1 percent

Total giveaway to CEOs and big corporations

Meanwhile, middle-class families would be left holding the bag.

To pay for their giveaway to big corporations and the rich, Republicans will take away health care, increase the cost of essentials like food and housing, and decimate services working families count on.

Ripping Away Health Care

#### The Affordable Care Act

Thanks to the Affordable Care Act, tens of millions of Americans can afford high-quality health care. House Democrats built on the Affordable Care Act, delivering lower prices and expanding coverage.

Under the Republican budget plan, the 115,000 people who receive coverage under the Affordable Care Act in TX-28 would see their average premium go up by \$410 per year — a 111% increase.

Many families would face even steeper consequences. A 60-year-old couple with a household income of \$85,000 in TX-28 would see their health insurance costs increase by \$14,577 per year — a 202% increase in premiums.

Nearly 80 million Americans receive health care through Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program, which provide critical care throughout all stages of life. Medicaid covers everything from childbirth to nursing home care and everything in between.

In TX-28, the 160,683 people on Medicaid are at risk of losing their health care under Republican budget plans.

This includes 110,009 children under the age of 19 and 15,609 seniors over 65 in TX-28.

### **Increasing Food Costs**

With many American families struggling to put food on the table, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program provides critical support for over 40 million Americans including children, seniors, veterans, and working parents.

Extreme Republican budget plans threaten the 53,939 people in TX-28 who count on SNAP to put food on the table.

And that's only the beginning. Republicans are targeting even more critical services to fund their tax cuts for billionaires and big corporations.

This page will continue to be updated with the latest information. (Last Updated: February 10, 2025)

# **FACT SHEET**

### THE REPUBLICAN BUDGET BETRAYS THE MIDDLE CLASS

## FY 25 Budget Resolution at a Glance

This budget includes:

- \$4.5 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy
- \$1.8 trillion in discretionary spending cuts
- \$1.2 trillion in mandatory spending cuts
- \$2.6 trillion in magic "macroeconomic effects"
- \$4 trillion debt limit increase
- · A total of \$1.6 trillion in increases to the deficit

The budget also includes reconciliation instructions to eleven committees as follows:

Committee	Reduce the deficit by at least	Committee	Increase the deficit by no more than
Energy & Commerce	\$880B	Ways & Means	\$4,500B
Education & Workforce	\$330B	<b>Armed Services</b>	\$100B
Agriculture	\$230B	Judiciary	\$110B
Oversight	\$50B	<b>Homeland Security</b>	\$90B
Transportation & Infrastructure	\$10B		
Financial Services	\$1B		
Natural Resources	\$1B		

The reconciliation instructions do not give details about how committees should write bills to achieve their instructed cuts/spending. But Republicans have already leaked their menu of options. For the following committees this includes:

- Energy and Commerce, at least \$880 billion in cuts could include:
  - o Medicaid, Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium assistance, Inflation Reduction Act repeal
- Education and Workforce, at least \$330 billion in cuts could include:
  - o Student loan programs, income driven repayment, and Pell grants, Head Start, School lunch
- Agriculture, at least \$230 billion in cuts could include:
  - o Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)
- Oversight, at least \$50 billion in cuts could include:
  - Government employee retirement benefits, changes to federal workforce
- Transportation and infrastructure, at least \$10 billion in cuts could include:
  - Restricting Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), Essential Air Service, increases the "tonnage tax" on cargo, and raids the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund
- Financial Services, at least \$1 billion in cuts could include:
  - The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), funding for financial regulators

- Natural Resources, at least \$1 billion in cuts could include:
  - o Expanded oil and gas leasing, IRA repeal
- Ways and Means, up to \$4.5 trillion in new spending could include:
  - Tax cuts for the rich, IRA repeal, cuts to Temporary Assistance to Needy Families and Social
     Services Block Grant, cuts in Medicare payments to providers, cuts to ACA premium assistance

The resolution requires that reconciled committees return at least \$2 trillion of spending cuts. This is more than the combined minimum \$1.5 trillion required of the committees that were given instructions to reduce the deficit.

It pretends that if committees do not return at least \$2 trillion in cuts, then Ways and Means' \$4.5 trillion instruction will be reduced by the difference. But it also allows for Ways and Means to have their instruction increased by the difference if committees return more than \$2 trillion in savings. Thus, even greater tax cuts for billionaires could be paid for with cuts to hardworking Americans.

**Local Impacts:** Localize the impacts of the House Republican budget using the House Budget Committee's microsite, which contains important information about health care and food assistance specific to your district.

## **Trump Tax Cuts**

The reconciliation instructions include \$4.5 trillion for Ways and Means to increase the deficit.

- CBO estimates that permanently extending the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) will cost **\$4.6 trillion** (including net interest costs) over the decade.
- Additional tax policies President Trump has called for, including no tax on tips, no tax on overtime, no tax on social security and increasing or lifting the SALT cap could cost, at minimum, an additional \$1.1 trillion.
- Therefore, if Ways and Means does not include deficit reduction in their policy proposals they will be unable to meet all of Trump's campaign promises.

### **Trump Tax Cuts Benefit The Rich**

- The Treasury Department found that the extension of the 2017 Trump Tax cuts would give an average annual tax cut of \$32,118 for those in the top 1 percent (family income over approximately \$750,000 a year) and an average annual tax cut of \$314,266 for those in the top 0.1% (making more than \$3.5 million a year). Meanwhile, working families will only get a few hundred dollars in tax cuts a year.
- Nearly half the net benefit of extending the law would go to the top <u>5 percent</u> of households, or those making more than \$450,000 a year.



# Democratic Amendments to Stop Price Hikes, End Tax Giveaways, and Protect Families Were Rejected

Democrats offered 32 amendments, all of which Republicans voted down:

- 1. Ranking Member Boyle (PA-02) introduced an amendment struck the entire reconciliation instruction, thereby stopping Republican plans to cut taxes for the rich and pay for it with cuts to essential programs.
- 2. Rep. Lloyd Doggett (TX-37) introduced an amendment to stop the Republicans' massive, \$4.5 trillion tax giveaway to billionaire donors and big corporations by striking the reconciliation instructions to Ways and Means.
- 3. Rep. Pramila Jayapal (WA-07) introduced an amendment to make the wealthiest corporations pay their fair share in taxes.
- Rep. Bonnie Watson Coleman (NJ-12) introduced an amendment to stop Republicans from cutting Medicaid to fund tax breaks for billionaires by striking the reconciliation instructions to Energy and Commerce.
- 5. Rep. Veronica Escobar (TX-16) introduced an amendment to prevent Republicans from undoing the progress Democrats have made in lowering drug prices by striking the reconciliation instructions to Ways and Means, Education and Workforce and Energy and Commerce.
- 6. Rep. Becca Balint (VT) introduced an amendment to stop Republican efforts to attack and repeal the Affordable Care Act by striking the reconciliation instructions to Ways and Means and Energy and Commerce.
- 7. Rep. Morgan McGarvey (KY-03) introduced an amendment to protect vital programs that safeguard the health and well-being of our veterans and all Americans who rely on them by striking the reconciliation instructions to Ways and Means, Energy and Commerce and Agriculture.
- 8. Rep. Bobby Scott (VA-03) introduced an amendment to push back against Republicans' betrayal of Americans who depend on the federal government for affordable pathways to higher education by striking the reconciliation instructions to Education and Workforce.
- 9. Rep. Ilhan Omar (MN-05) introduced an amendment to stop Republicans from ripping food assistance away from hardworking Americans by striking the reconciliation to Agriculture.
- 10. Rep. Marcy Kaptur (OH-14) introduced an amendment to stop Republicans from increasing energy costs for American families by striking the reconciliation instructions to Energy and Commerce, Natural Resources and Ways and Means.
- 11. Rep. Paul Tonko (NY-20) introduced an amendment to block Republicans from doubling down on dirty fossil fuels and deepening the climate crisis and that would instead protect the air we breathe and the water we drink by striking the reconciliation instructions to Energy and Commerce and Natural Resources.
- 12. Rep. Stacey Plaskett (VI-AL) introduced an amendment to prevent unlawful spending freezes, stop unelected billionaires from firing civil servants, and protect access to fiscal databases by striking the instructions to Oversight and Ways and Means.
- 13. Rep. Scott Peters (CA-50) introduced an amendment to stop Republican gimmicks and budgetary sleights of hand to magically cover the cost of their huge, \$4.5 trillion giveaway to billionaire donors and big corporations.
- 14. Rep. Jimmy Panetta (CA-19) introduced an amendment directing Republicans adjust their economic projections to reflect reality.
- 15. Rep. Judy Chu (CA-28) introduced an amendment to stop cuts to Social Security.
- 16. Rep. Gabe Amo (RI-01) introduced an amendment to protect Medicare, which provides care to some 68 million seniors and Americans with disabilities.



- 17. Rep. Bobby Scott (VA-03) introduced an amendment to protect programs that help working families afford child care by increasing the reserve fund.
- 18. Rep. Paul Tonko (NY-20) introduced an amendment to expand the life-saving \$35 insulin cap for Medicare to the commercial sector.
- 19. Rep. Judy Chu (CA-28) introduced an amendment to protect taxpayers from Republican policies that benefit wealthy tax cheats by increasing IRS funding.
- 20. Rep. Ilhan Omar (MN-05) introduced an amendment to make sure giant corporations actually pay their fair share by increasing the corporate tax rate.
- 21. Rep. Gabe Amo (RI-01) introduced an amendment to stop Republican cuts to food assistance and school breakfasts and lunches for kids.
- 22. Rep. Lloyd Doggett (CA-19) introduced an amendment to stop Elon Musk from dismantling the Department of Education.
- 23. Rep. Jimmy Panetta (CA-28) introduced an amendment to support America's farmers and safeguard humanitarian assistance around the globe.
- 24. Rep. Morgan McGarvey (RI-01) introduced an amendment to protect funding for support programs like Meals on Wheels.
- 25. Rep. Scott Peters (CA-50) introduced an amendment to promote American research and innovation in the face of Republican attacks on the National Institutes of Health.
- 26. Rep. Veronica Escobar (TX-16) introduced an amendment to reflect the increased costs to the Trump admin for paying legal claims to illegally fired civil servants.
- 27. Rep. Marcy Kaptur (OH-09) introduced an amendment to safeguard sensitive taxpayer information and private data from unlawful access by unauthorized people.
- 28. Rep. Bonnie Watson Coleman (NJ-12) introduced an amendment that would prevent the slashing of the CFPB and the mortgage interest deduction.
- 29. Rep. Rebecca Balint (VT-AL) introduced an amendment to protect Social Security by including a budgetary point of order.
- 30. Rep. Stacey Plaskett (VI-AL) introduced an amendment to protect Medicare by including a budgetary point of order
- 31. Rep. Pramila Jayapal (WA-07) introduced an amendment to protect Medicaid by including a budgetary point of order.
- 32. Ranking Member Boyle (PA-02) introduced an amendment to protect Congress's power of the purse.



# **TALKING POINTS**

## THE REPUBLICAN BUDGET BETRAYS THE MIDDLE CLASS

#### **TOPLINE MESSAGING**

- Democrats will always fight to grow the middle class.
- The Republican budget plan betrays the middle class by gutting lifelines that help millions of families afford health care, put food on the table, and keep their kids in school.
- Their plan rewards Republicans' billionaire donors by stealing taxpayer dollars from the middle class.
- We will do everything possible to fight back against this Republican Rip Off.

### The House Republican Budget Betrays Hardworking Americans

- The new House Republican budget is a direct attack on middle-class Americans. It rips health care away from millions while handing more than \$4.5 trillion in tax breaks to billionaire donors and big corporations.
- Instead of helping working families, they are funneling taxpayer dollars into giveaways for the ultrawealthy—making it harder for everyday Americans to afford health care and put food on the table.
- Nearly 20 million Americans rely on the Affordable Care Act for health coverage, and more than 72 million—including children, seniors, and people with disabilities—depend on Medicaid. Yet House Republicans are proposing at least \$880 billion in cuts to Medicaid and the ACA, ripping away coverage and raising health care costs for millions—all to finance tax breaks for the wealthiest few.
- Over 40 million Americans rely on SNAP to help feed their families. But this budget slashes at least \$230 billion from food assistance programs, even as grocery prices remain high despite Donald Trump's empty promises to lower costs on day one.
- Instead of investing in families, their budget is built on fantasy math—assuming an impossible \$2.6 trillion in economic growth to justify their extreme policies.

### Billionaires Win, Working Families Lose

- The biggest winners in the House Republican budget are the billionaire donors and big corporations bankrolling their campaigns.
- Republicans claim they want to lower costs, but their budget does the opposite—stripping health care from
  millions while handing massive tax breaks to billionaires like Elon Musk. It takes food and health care from
  kids, parents, and seniors while driving up costs for middle-class families already struggling to make ends
  meet.
- Billionaires and corporations will get trillions in handouts—widening the wealth gap and delivering an
  average annual tax cut of \$314,266 for the top 0.1%. Meanwhile, corporations will keep outsourcing jobs,
  squeezing wages, and driving up costs.
- Republicans have made their priorities clear: billionaires first, working families last.

### House Democrats Are Fighting Back Against the Republican Rip-Off

- When the Trump White House tried to freeze critical funding, Democrats fought back—and won.
- Now, as House Republicans push their extreme budget, gutting essential programs for millions, we will use every tool to stop them.
- We won't stand by while they undermine working families and put billionaire donors first.
- If just three House Republicans join us, we can block this dangerous plan.

**Local Impacts:** Localize the impacts of the House Republican budget using the House Budget Committee's microsite, which contains important information about health care and food assistance specific to your district.





February 2025

Fact Sheet: Medicaid's Crucial Role in Texas & What Impact Republican Medicaid Cuts Could Have

# **ENERGY & COMMERCE COMMITTEE DEMOCRATS**

# MEDICAID PROVIDES HEALTH COVERAGE TO 4.8 MILLION TEXAS RESIDENTS – OR 16.2% OF ALL TEXANS

To view the number of Medicaid beneficiaries under 65 in your district, click <u>HERE</u>. To view the number of all Medicaid beneficiaries by county, click <u>HERE</u>.

### Who relies on Medicaid for health coverage in Texas?

- 36.2% of all children
- 48% of moms giving birth and their newborn babies
- 25.9% of working-age adults with disabilities
- 61% of people living in nursing homes
- 15% of Medicare beneficiaries
- 8% of <u>adults aged 19-64</u>

### What is Medicaid called in Texas?

Medicaid goes by many names. For example, in Texas, Medicaid is also known by:

- The insurance companies that cover Medicaid beneficiaries—Aetna Better Health of Texas, Amerigroup, Baylor Scott & White Health Plan, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Community First Health Plans, Community Health Choice Texas, Cook's Children Health Plan, Dell Children's Health Plan, Driscoll Children's Health Plan, El Paso Health, Molina Healthcare of Texas, Parkland Community Health Plan, Superior HealthPlan, Texas Children's Health Plan, and United Healthcare Community Plan
- Texas's Medicaid home and community-based services programs—STAR+PLUS Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) Program, Community First Choice (CFC), Primary Home Care (PHC) Program, Day Activity and Health Services (DAHS), and Community Attendant Services (CAS)

# MEDICAID IS A SIGNIFICANT SOURCE OF FEDERAL FUNDING TO TEXAS What role does Medicaid play in Texas's budget?

- Federal Medicaid funding to Texas was \$36.9 billion in 2023—64% of all federal funding to Texas.<sup>1</sup>
- Most of **Texas**'s Medicaid expenditures are matched by the federal government at a rate known as the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage or FMAP—Texas's FMAP is 60%.
- If Texas adopted Medicaid expansion, it would receive a 90% matching rate for newly eligible people, and a 2-year, 5-percentage-point increase to its regular FMAP (described above). The federal matching rate for family planning services is 90%.

# SLASHING FEDERAL MEDICAID FUNDING WOULD LEAVE TEXAS WITH THE HARD CHOICE OF WHOSE COVERAGE AND BENEFITS TO CUT

Medicaid is a <u>lean</u> program. It has grown to cover more people, but per-person spending growth has been <u>much lower</u> than other payers. With few options for how to fill the budget hole left by cuts to Medicaid funding, states will consider cuts to coverage and benefits, leaving more people uninsured and underinsured.

The author's calculations based on the National Association of State Budget Officers State Expenditure Report data.

### Who could lose coverage in Texas?

Federal law requires states to cover certain groups of people, while <u>others are optional</u>. With less federal Medicaid funding, Texas policymakers may consider cutting coverage for optional groups like:

- Certain children with disabilities such as children under age 19 who are disabled and living at home.
- Elderly and disabled adults such as those who are institutionalized or eligible for home and community-based services.
- Individuals who need breast or cervical cancer treatment and do not have other treatment coverage.
- Postpartum moms whose pregnancies ended in the prior 12 months.
- Texas also may consider reducing <u>income eligibility levels for mandatory eligibility groups</u>, such as children, pregnant women, and parents/caretakers.

## For Texans who remain on Medicaid, what benefits could they lose?

Federal law requires states to cover certain benefits for adults, while <u>others are optional</u>. Texas policymakers may consider cutting optional benefits such as:

- Home- and community-based services (HCBS) that allow the elderly and people with disabilities to receive services in their own homes or communities rather than institutional settings. In Texas, over 342,000 people are on a waiting list for Medicaid HCBS—that number would only grow.
- Dental services.
- Optometry services.
- Hospice services.
- Physical therapy; occupational therapy; and/or speech, hearing, and language disorder services.

# CUTTING FEDERAL MEDICAID FUNDING WOULD CLOSE HEALTH CARE PROVIDERS' DOORS IN TEXAS AND REDUCE QUALITY OF SERVICES

With more people uninsured and underinsured, providers will experience an increase in uncompensated care. Making matters even worse, states are very likely to further cut Medicaid provider payment rates as another way to make up for the loss in federal funding.

## How does Medicaid support Texas's hospitals?

• In addition to payments for services provided to Medicaid managed care plan enrollees, **Texas**hospitals received nearly \$8.3 billion in Medicaid payments in 2023, including nearly \$7.5 billion in Medicaid Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) and other supplemental payments that help to offset uncompensated care for Medicaid patients and the uninsured.

# How does Medicaid support Texas's nursing homes and other facilities?

- 61% of Texas's nursing home residents rely on Medicaid as their primary source of coverage.
- Texas mental health facilities, nursing facilities, and intermediate care facilities for people with disabilities received nearly \$1.8 billion in Medicaid payments in 2023.

# How does Medicaid support home and community-based services providers in Texas?

- In 2021, 275,500 people in Texas relied on Medicaid for HCBS.
- Nationally, Medicaid accounted for nearly <u>70% of home and community-based services spending in 2022.</u>

# How does Medicaid support community health centers in Texas?

- 26% of Texas community health centers' revenue came from Medicaid in 2023.
- 36% of community health center patients in Texas have Medicaid.



January 2025

Fact Sheet: The Impacts of Republican Plans to Potentially Cut \$2.5 Trillion in Medicaid Funding

# **ENERGY & COMMERCE COMMITTEE DEMOCRATS**

Republicans are devising secret plans to cut up to \$2.5 trillion in federal Medicaid funding—to pay for tax breaks for billionaires and large corporations. These egregious cuts threaten 80 million Americans who receive health care through Medicaid and the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP).

### WHO ARE THE 80 MILLION AMERICANS ON MEDICAID AND CHIP:

More than 32 percent of Americans relied on Medicaid for health coverage in 2023—and, in some congressional districts, nearly half of all residents rely on Medicaid. Click HERE to see the share of residents under age 65 with Medicaid/CHIP coverage by congressional district in 2023, and HERE to see all Medicaid enrollment by county. Here's who these cuts will impact in your district:

- Elderly and disabled people in need of long-term care—including millions of seniors on Medicare.

  Medicaid is the primary source of long-term care in the United States—accounting for 61 percent of U.S. spending in 2022. Three of every 10 dollars spent by Medicaid support Medicare beneficiaries.
- **<u>People with mental health and substance use disorders.</u>** Medicaid is the <u>single largest payer</u> in the country for behavioral health services, covering about <u>one quarter of total spending</u>.
- Children and working families, including pregnant women and children with disabilities.

  Medicaid/CHIP cover nearly 40 percent of all children and more than 40 percent of all births. Medicaid provides 12 months of postpartum coverage in nearly every state. Medicaid provides comprehensive coverage for children with disabilities with little to no out-of-pocket costs.

### WHAT HOUSE REPUBLICANS ARE LOOKING TO CUT IN MEDICAID:

Republicans are considering policies to wreak havoc on state-led health care initiatives. All of these proposals will strip people of their health care coverage, cut benefits leading to missed care and higher costs for Americans, and cut payments to hospitals, which will reduce services and quality:

- Soluting Medicaid funding through block grants or per capita caps. Republicans are considering cutting \$900 billion in federal funding by imposing "per capita caps" on Medicaid spending. That means the program funding does not grow with the needs of the population but is subject to arbitrary funding caps. If the per capita caps Republicans attempted to impose in 2017 during efforts to repeal the Affordable Care Act (ACA) had taken effect, almost every state would have exceeded its caps. Click HERE for a look at the budget gap your state would have faced if Republicans were successful in implementing these cuts in 2018.
- Cutting billions of dollars of health care funding from wealthier states by reducing or eliminating the minimum level of federal funding states receive. Most state Medicaid spending is matched by federal dollars at a rate called the Federal Medical Assistance Percentage or "FMAP." States' FMAPs range from 50 percent to approximately 77 percent, based on the per capita income for the state—meaning wealthier states like California and New York have the lowest FMAPs of 50 percent. Republicans are proposing to cut the Medicaid FMAP floor—leaving states with as much as \$530 billion less in federal funding. Click HERE to see the federal matching rates for most Medicaid spending in your state.

- States receive a 90 percent federal matching rate for expenditures for the ACA Medicaid expansion population—which is made up of the very lowest income adults, including <a href="low-income parents">low-income parents</a> and <a href="people with disabilities">people with disabilities</a>. Republicans want to slash the 90 percent matching rate, <a href="cutting about">cutting about</a> \$600 billion in Medicaid funds to states. Forty states and Washington, D.C. have adopted Medicaid expansion. Without this funding, nine states' laws would automatically end Medicaid expansion, and the remaining states would be left to figure out how to make up for this massive loss in funding. Click <a href="https://example.com/HERE">HERE</a> to see which states would automatically terminate Medicaid expansion and <a href="https://example.com/HERE">HERE</a> to see the number of people in your state for whom Medicaid expansion coverage is at risk.
- <u>Limiting states' ability to generate state Medicaid funding, resulting in funding cuts.</u> States can generate the state share of Medicaid funding in a variety of ways—including taxes on providers such as hospitals. As of fiscal year 2018, provider taxes represented 17 percent of the state share. Republican proposals would limit states' ability to generate provider taxes, hampering their ability to generate the funds necessary to sustain their Medicaid programs and **cutting up to approximately \$630 billion in federal Medicaid funding**. Every state but Alaska has a provider tax, and 39 states have three or more provider taxes. Click <u>HERE</u> and look at FIGURE 14 and TABLE 4 to see how many provider taxes your state has in place, and on what types of providers.
- Subjecting people to "work reporting requirements." Republicans' proposal for burdensome work reporting requirements are simply another Medicaid cut masquerading as a "work" program. Work reporting requirements are purposefully designed to throw Medicaid beneficiaries off Medicaid and create more obstacles to health care. The research is clear: policies that take coverage away from people for failing to meet a work reporting requirement result in more uninsured people—without any increase in employment. Click HERE to see the number of people at risk for losing coverage under work reporting requirements in your state and HERE to see the number of people at risk in your district.

### WHAT THESE CUTS WILL MEAN FOR YOUR CONSTITUENTS:

States will either need to come up with *significant* new sources of funding to fill the hole left by federal cuts or—more likely—find ways to cut Medicaid spending in your state. Today, approximately two-thirds of expenditures for Medicaid come from federal sources. With federal funding slashed by <u>as much as 40 percent</u>, state policymakers will turn to:

- **Cuts to coverage, leaving more of your constituents uninsured.** Every \$100 billion cut from the Medicaid program represents the cost of coverage for more than 3.7 million children, 540,000 low-income seniors, or 415,000 adults with disabilities. A \$2.5 trillion cut is 25 times that amount.
- Cuts to benefits like home- and community-based services—leaving elderly and disabled people in your state without coverage and worsening existing shortages of health care providers. Beneficiaries who need the most expensive optional services (like home- and community-based services)—such as elderly people and people living with disabilities—are particularly at risk. These types of costly services are the first that state policymakers will cut, leaving even more families with nowhere to turn for long-term care and putting more providers at risk of closure.
- Cuts in hospital payments—leading to closures, reduced services, and worse care for families who rely on Medicaid. For example, in 2010-2022, most rural hospitals and more than one-third of urban hospitals closed their maternity wards. Hospital closures and reductions in services accelerate with Medicaid funding cuts. Families will miss more care, be forced to travel further to receive care when they can no longer avoid it, and the quality of care they receive will suffer.

Prepared by Energy and Commerce Democrats

# STEAL FROM AMERICAN FARMERS

WHILE REPUBLICANS IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE LOOK THE OTHER WAY

# Unlawful Funding Freeze Grinds Essential Assistance to Farmers and Rural Communities to a Halt

President Trump's Day One Executive Order 14154 illegally froze all Bipartisan Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) and Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) funding that runs through the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). Executive Order 14169 further froze foreign assistance programs, including the Food for Peace Title II and McGovern-Dole Food for Education programs, which purchase commodities from American farmers. Taken together, these executive orders have withheld funds from farmers and rural communities across America in direct violation of the law.

While the Administration has claimed that frozen funds would not include payments to individuals, many USDA programs directly benefiting farmers have still not been released. Trump and unchecked billionaire President Musk have caused essential assistance to American farmers to grind to a halt.

Trump and Musk have halted USDA programs including:

- Environmental Quality Incentives Program
- Conservation Stewardship Program
- Agricultural Conservation Easement Program
- Regional Conservation Partnership Program
- Conservation Technical Assistance
- Conservation Technical Assistance, Quantify Carbon Sequestration and Emissions
- Office of the Secretary IRA funds
- PACE/Electric Loans for Renewable Energy
- Assistance for Rural Electric Cooperatives
- Rural Energy for America Program (REAP)
- REAP Underutilized Renewable Energy Technologies
- Biofuel Infrastructure and Agriculture Product Market Expansion
- Farm Loan Immediate Relief for Borrowers with at-risk operations
- Land loss assistance
- Emergency Watershed Protection Program
- Watershed and Flood Prevention Operations (WFPO)
- Watershed Rehabilitation Program
- NIFA Bioproduct Pilot Program

- Distance Learning, Telemedicine, and Broadband Program
- Office of Inspector General IIJA funding
- Food for Peace
- Commodity Credit Corporation Food Assistance
- Limits McGovern-Dole Food for Education funds

## **Breaking Promises to Farmers**

Trump and Musk have halted a variety of funding for both technical assistance to farmers as well as direct payments to farmers for improving their operations. Frozen Conservation Technical Assistance would help farmers to improve their land or plan for resource issues affecting their land.

Beyond just assistance in planning, the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) also provides financial assistance for farmers to implement improvements benefitting the soil, water, air, wildlife habitat, and increasing their land's resiliency. Many farmers plan months or years ahead in the investments they make to their property. Stealing promised EQIP investments can put many of these individuals and business in a precarious financial situation, leaving them to scramble to balance their checkbooks.

<u>Here</u> is a breakdown of EQIP funding being held at risk in each congressional district. The numbers shown are the summation of the amounts awarded, but not yet delivered, to EQIP recipients from the Inflation Reduction Act.

For example, as of February 20, 2025, in Georgia's 2nd Congressional District represented by Ranking Member Sanford D. Bishop, Trump-Musk have stolen \$3.6 million promised to farmers, which are yet to be paid.

Additional information on the number of awards and the amount promised can be found here.

# **Increasing Energy Prices**

The Trump administration has frozen funding for programs including the Rural Energy for America Program (REAP) and funding for Rural Electric Cooperatives. By halting this funding, President Trump has derailed efforts to lower the energy bills of farmers and rural Americans.

Lower energy costs for farmers result in lower costs to grow food and make other agricultural products for Americans. Freezing this funding will have many follow-on effects beyond just increasing energy costs.

Trump and President Musk have also placed many farmers in a financially tenuous situation by holding funds for projects which have been awarded but funds have not yet been dispersed. REAP provides reimbursement-based assistance – this means that thousands of farmers across the country have made investments based on the promises they were made – and now Trump and President Musk are leaving them high and dry.

Here is a table showing the REAP funds stolen from farmers and rural Americans in each congressional district. The numbers shown are the summation of the amounts awarded, but not yet delivered, to REAP recipients from the Inflation Reduction Act. For example, as of February 20, 2025, in Georgia's 2nd Congressional District represented by Ranking Member Sanford D. Bishop, 9 farmers and small businesses have been promised REAP funding. The Trump-Musk freeze has stolen \$3.76 million of promised funds, which are yet to be paid.

Additional information on the number of farmers and the amount promised can be found here.

# **Letting American Grown Food Rot**

Halting international food aid has put over 500,000 tons of food at risk of wasting on ships and in warehouses. While USDA has claimed they released its hold on a limited amount of previously committed aid, the continued hold on new awards keeps US commodities at risk. American producers support these programs with commodities ranging from corn to wheat, from vegetable oil to crucial Ready-to-Use Therapeutic Foods (RUTF) that can save the lives of infants suffering from severe acute malnutrition. Beyond harming American farmers across the country, this funding freeze also impacts American jobs that provide the logistical backbone to get the food from farmers to ports and shipped to people in need across the world.

Food for Peace commodities take an average of 4 to 6 months to reach their recipients and the McGovern-Dole program utilizes a rigorous and lengthy proposal review process, meaning that continued delays now will have lasting impacts on the supply chain for these critical programs.

Every year, USAID provides over 2 million metric tons of American grown commodities to those in need. Through the McGovern-Dole program USDA feeds over 2.5 million schoolchildren every year. The halting of these aid programs will not only endanger the millions of vulnerable people who are set to receive the food, but also the farmers across the country who proudly grow this life-saving food.

# TRUMP AND UNCHECKED BILLIONAIRE MUSK STEAL FROM AMERICAN FARMERS

RURAL ENERGY FOR AMERICA PROGRAM (REAP)



Included below is a table showing the REAP funds stolen from farmers and rural Americans in each congressional district. The numbers shown are the summation of the amounts awarded, but not yet delivered, to REAP recipients from the Inflation Reduction Act. For example, as of February 20, 2025, in Georgia's 2nd Congressional District (represented by Ranking Member Sanford D. Bishop), the Trump-Musk freeze has stolen \$3.8 million of REAP funding from farmers and rural businesses.

AK00	3,156,431	Begich	R
Total, Alaska AL01	3,156,431 160,368	Moore (AL)	R
AL03	1,155,586	Rogers (AL)	R
AL04 AL05	2,815,886	Aderholt	R
A1.07	565,264 455,427	Strong Sewell	D
AL-Multiple Total, Alabama	455,427 1,587,910		
Total, Alabama	6,740,441 5,284,480 1,498,320	Constant	R
AR01 AR02	1,498,320	Crawford Hill (AR)	R
AR03		Womack	R
ARO4	1,725,245 8,881,648	Westerman	R
Total, Arkansas AZ02	3,045,835	Crane	R
AZ03	3,045,835 609,936	Ansari	D
A206 A207	1,607,209	Ciscomani	R
A207 A209	3,933,279 1,062,555	Grijalva Gosar	R
AZ-Multiple	1,261,931 11,520,745		
Total, Arizona CA01	11,520,745 3,559,868	LaMalfa	R
CA02	826,634	Huffman	D
CA03	564,209	Kiley (CA)	R
CA04	226,973	Thompson (GA) McClintock	D R
CA05 CA06	451,712 232,046	Bera	D
CA09 CA10	1,039,187	Harder (CA)	D
CA10 CA11	1,000,000	DeSaulnier	D
CA12	52 743	Pelosi Simon	D
CA13	2,012,618	Gray	D
CA18	2,012,618 987,738 351,663	Lofgren	D
CA19 CA20	351,663 1,425,350	Panetta Fong	R
CA21 ·	1,803,009	Costa	D
CA22	4,179,508 31,905	Valadao	R
CA23 CA24	31,905	Obernoite Carbajel	R
CA25	2,160,485	Ruiz	D
CA25 GA36 CA47 CA-Multiple	4.000,000	Lleu	D
CA Multiple	14,997	Min	D
Total, California	26,528,014		
CO02	414.085	Neguse	D
CO03 CO04	6,504,652 924,158	Hurd (CO) Boebert	R
CO07	1.315.643	Pettersen	D
CO07 CO08	1,315,643 1,700,869	Evans (CO)	R
CO-Multiple	19,702 10,879,109 1,882,080		
Total, Colorado CT02	1.882.080	Courtney	D
CT03	90,734 2,014,615 63,708 81,662	DeLauro	D
CT04	2,014,615	Himes	D
CT05 CT-Multiple	81.662	Hayes	U
Total, Connecticut	4,132,799 20,000		ALLEGE
DC00	20,000	Norton	D
Total, District of Columbia DE00	5.507.026	McBride	D
Total, Delaware	20,000 5,507,026 5,507,026 149,528		
FL01 FL02	149,528 3,703,043	Dung (FL)	R
FL03	5,137,872	Cammack	R
FL05	148,012	Rutherford	R
FL06	803,777	A Pro-	
FL07 FL08	159,024 1,155,023	Mills Haridopolos	R
FL09	601,380 2,987,308	Solo	D
FL11	2,987,308	Webster (FL)	R
FL12 FL16	88,028 87,075	Bilirakis Buchanan	R
FL17	87,075 305,953	Steube	R
FL18 FL19	2 767 809	Frankin, Scott (FL) Donalds	R
FL19 FL20	737,723 994,472	Onalds Cherfilus-McCormick	R D
FL22	159,460	Frankel, Lois (FL)	D
FL23	501,768	Moskowitz	D
FL24 FL28	511,560	Wilson (FL) Gimenez	D R
	472.814		**
FL-Muttiple	472,814 785,158		- Charles
Total, Florida	785,158 22,256,787		D
Total, Florida GA01	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585	Carter (GA)	R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack	D R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA05	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA)	R D
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA05 GA06	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath	R D
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA07	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott Austin (GA)	D R D D R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA07 GA08	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott Austin (GA)	D R D D R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA05 GA05 GA06 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA09 GA09 GA01	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,939	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott Austin (GA) Clyde Collins	D R D D R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA02 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA09 GA01 GA11 GA11	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,938 928,517 2,384,632	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott, Auslin (GA) Chyde Coulins Loudermik Allen	D R D D R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA09 GA10 GA11 GA12 GA13	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,938 928,517 2,384,632	Carter (GA) Bishoo Jack Williams (GA) McBath McComtck Scott, Austin (GA) Chyde Collins Chyde Scott Austin (GA) Chyde Scott David (GA)	D R D D R R R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA09 GA09 GA01 GA11 GA11 GA12 GA13 GA13	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,767,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,939 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott, Auslin (GA) Chyde Coulins Loudermik Allen	D R D D R R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA08 GA09 GA09 GA10 GA11 GA11 GA12 GA13 GA04 GA04 GA04 GA04 GA04 GA04 GA04 GA04	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,663,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,763,650 3,608,387 1,499,938 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575 158,713 32,252,566	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McComrick Scott, Austin (GA) Clyde Collins Loudermik Allen Scott, David (GA) Greena (GA)	D R D D R R R R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA09 GA09 GA01 GA01 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 1,72,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,939 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575 158,713 32,252,566 142,064	Carter (GA) Bishoo Jack Williams (GA) McBath McComtck Scott, Austin (GA) Chyde Collins Chyde Scott Austin (GA) Chyde Scott David (GA)	D R D D R R R R R
Total, Florida GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA09 GA09 GA09 GA09 GA09 GA10 GA11 GA11 GA14 GA12 GA13 GA14 GA-Miliple Total, Georgia GU00	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,939 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575 158,713 32,252,566 142,064	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott, Auslin (GA) Chde Coglins Loudermic Alten Scott, David (GA) Greene (GA) Moylan	D R D D R R R R R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA09 GA09 GA01 GA01 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11 GA11	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,767,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 1,074,076 8,768,650 3,608,397 1,499,939 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575 158,713 32,252,566 142,064 142,064	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCamlck Scott, Austin (GA) Chde Collins Loudsemilc Alten Gott, Austin (GA) Greene (GA) Moylan Moylan Case	D R D D R R R R R R
Total, Florida GA01 GA02 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA03 GA05 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA06 GA07 GA11 GA12 GA12 GA13 GA14 GA13 GA14 GA16 GA16 GA16 GA16 GA16 GA16 GA16 GA16	785,158 22,256,787 1,120,585 3,757,642 3,114 2,683,147 172,146 8,768,650 3,608,387 1,499,939 928,517 2,384,632 5,347,444 745,575 158,713 32,252,566 142,064	Carter (GA) Bishop Jack Williams (GA) McBath McCornick Scott, Auslin (GA) Chde Coglins Loudermic Alten Scott, David (GA) Greene (GA) Moylan	D R D D R R R R R R R

District IA01	\$ Withheld	Member Miler-Veeks Hinson Nunn (IA) Feenstra	Par
IA02	8,748,023	Miller-Meeks Hinson	R
IA03	1,815,721	Nunn (IA)	R
IA04 IA-Multiple	75,755	Feenstra	K
Total, lowa	19,657,947 2,486,268 11,254,829		
ID01 ID02	2,486,268	Fulcher Simpson	R
Total, Idaho IL02 IL07	11,254,829 13,741,097 1,032,557 2,000,000 50,590,000 4,408,148 1,507,146 519,040 13,813,952 3,793,301 2,525,992 43,225		100
IL02	1,032,557	Kelly (IL) Davis (IL)	D
L10	50.590.000	Schnelder	D
IL12	4,408,148	Bost	R
IL13	1,507,146	Budzinski Underwood	D
L14 L15	13,813,952	Miller (IL)	R
L16	3,793,301	LeHood	R
L17 L-Multiple	2,525,992	Sorensen	D
Total, Illinois	80,233,361 90,501		
INO1 INO2	90,501	Mrven Yakym	D R
IN03	1,616,730 3,384,804	Stutzman	R
INO4		Bard	R
IN06 IN07	2,386,860	Shreve Carson	R
IN08	724,748	Messmer	R
IN09	510,393	Houchin	R
Total, Indiana . KS01	2,386,860 1,168,577 724,748 510,393 9,917,559 4,100,759 2,349,739	Mann	R
KS01 KS02	2,349,739	Mann Schmidt	R
KS03 KS04	1 646 445	Davids (KS) Estes	D
Total, Kansas KY01	8,517,974 5,749,524		
KY01	5,749,524	Corner	R
KY02 KY03	1,030,629	Guthrie McGarvey	R
KY04 KY05	1,000,000 494,857 4,056,906	Massie	R
KY05 KY06	4,056,906 3,393,898	Rogers (KY) Berr	R
KY-Multiple	288 334	Ugi	n
Fotal, Kentucky LA01	16,014,148		
AO2	16,014,148 3,138,439 255,789	Scalse Carter (LA)	R D
A02 A03		Higgins (LA)	R
A04 A05	5,164,695 2,092,982	Johnson (LA) Letlow	R
_A06	747 897	Fields	D
A-Multiple	1,026,307		elec
	1,026,307 13,644,725 1,500,239 2,268,981	Neal	D
VA02	2,268,981	McGounco	D
VA03		Trahan	D
Fotal, Louislana VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06	591,684 316,799 441,560	Trahan Auchincloss Clark (MA)	D
VA06	441,560		D
enur	2 100 490	Pressley Keating	D
VA09 Fotal, Massachusetts VD01	138,547 2,100,490 7,376,695 4,656,236		1807
/D01	4,656,236	Harris (MD)	R
VD02 VD04 VD05 VD06	492,592 79,120 80,400 760,335	Olszewski Ivey	D
VD05	80,400	Hover McClain Delaney	D
VD06	760,335	McClain Delaney	D
Total, Maryland ME01	6,068,683 2,102,191 4,604,740 48,687	Pingree	D
VE02	4,604,740	Pingree Golden (ME)	D
VE-Multiple Fotal, Malne			300
2001	1,455,353 4,005,283 492,053	Bergman	R
/(02 /(03	4,005,283	Moolenaar Scholten	R
1104	4,783,907	Huizenga	R
/105	4,783,907 3,461,772 1,570,618 799,254 314,420	Huizenga Walberg	R
A106 A107	799 254	Dinget Barrett	D R
1108	314,420	McDonald Rivet	D
A109 A111	2,103,859 130,117 19,116,636	McClain	R
/IIII Fotal, Michigan	19,116,636	Stevens	U
ANO1	4.808.919	Finstad	R
M02 M03	354,721	Craig Morrison	D
4N04	231,339	McCollum	D
AN04 AN05	231,339 1,145,146	Omar	D
ANOG ANO7	114,794 7,141,391	Emmer Fischbach	R
/N08	1,235,466 15,364,386 387,584 3,483,900	Stauber	R
/NO8 Total, Minnesota	15,364,386	Wassa	D.
/O02 /O03	387,584	Wagner Onder	R
/002 /003 /004 /005	3,121,698 226,623	Onder Alford Cleaver	R
/O05	226,623	Cleaver	D
1007	1,276,180	Graves Burison	R
	1,986,573 1,276,180 3,111,235 13,593,793	Smith (MO)	R
Total, Missouri JS01	13,593,793	Kelly (MS)	R
AS02	2,685,000 3,007,729 13,587,664	Thompson (MS)	D
/503 /504	13,587,664 2,042,694	Guest Ezell	R

_			
District	\$ Withheld	Member	Party
Total, Mississippi	22,141,818 1,763,005		
MT01 MT02	361.428	Zinke Downing	R
Total, Montana	2,124,433 719,054		
NCOL	719,054 199,614	Davis (NC) Ross	D
NC02 NC03	3,658,777	Murphy	R
NCO4	3,658,777 2,470,361	Foushee	D
NC05 NC06	1,563,907 1,109,296 821,154 1,438,509	Fox McDowell	R
NC07	821,154	Rouzer	R
NCOR	1,438,509	Harris (NC)	R
NC09 NC10	559,244 2,879,134	Hudson Harrigan	R
NG11	2,879,134 1,455,590 326,141 988,674	Edwards	R
NC13	326,141	Knott	R
NC14 NC-Multiple	387,464 18,576,919 3,978,108 3,978,108 3,262,430 63,300	Moore (NC)	п
Total, North Carolina	18,576,919		
ND00 Total, North Dakota	3,978,108	Fedorchak	R
NE01	3,262,430	Flood	R
NE02	63,300	Bacon	R
NE03 NE-Multiple	10,400,088	Smith (NE)	R
Total, Nebraska	42,763 13,775,180 4,897,084		
NH01	4,897,084	Paopas	D
NH02 NH-Multiple	4,006,819	Goodlander	D
Total, New Hampshire	9,742,340 3,299,402 1,000,000 2,406,685		
NJ02	3,299,402	Van Drew Pallone Kean	R
NJ06 NJ07	1,000,000	Pallone Kean	R
NJ11 NJ12	449,450 871,225	Sherrill	D
NJ12	871,225	Watson Coleman	D
Total, New Jersey NAI01	1,098,099	Stansbury	D
NM02	8,026,762 1,098,099 4,674,315 2,600,980 8,373,394	Vasquez	D
NM03	2,600,980	Leger Fernandez	D
Total, New Mexico NV01	196,059	Titus	D
NV02 NV03	2,341,491 39,416	Amodel (NV) Loe (NV)	R
NV03 NV04	39,416 898,904	Lee (NV) Horsford	D D
Total, Nevada	3,475,870	norsicro	D
NY01 NY17	1,180,464 38,097	LaLota	R
NY17	38,097	Lavier	R
NY18 NY19	1,693,127 1,962,045	Riley (NY)	D
NY20	685 619	Lawler Ryon Riley (NY) Tonko Stofanik	D
NY21 NY22	2,392,696 1,218,296 2,901,503 2,760,801	Stofanik Mannion	R D
NY23 NY24 NY25 NY-Multiple	2,901,503	Langworthy	R
NY24	2,760,801	Tenney Morette	R
NY25 NY-Multiple	945,564 261,801	Morelle	D
	16.040.012		and the
OH02 OH04 OH05	709,148 883,072	Taylor	R
OH04: OH05	4,203,480	Jordan Latta	R
OH06		Rulii	R
OH07	893,432	Miler (OH)	R
OH08 OH09	803.892	Davidson Kaptur	D
OH10	970,082 893,432 553,272 803,892 30,172 107,791 1,548,390 1,451,395 1,557,503	Tumer (OH)	R
OH11 OH12	107,791	Brown	D R
OH14	1,451,395	Balderson Joyce (OH)	R
OH15	1,557,503	Joyce (OH) Carey	R
Total, Ohio OK01	13,711,628	Hom (OV)	R
OK02	3,037,386	Hern (OK) Brecheen	R
OK03	5,301,744	Lucas	R
OK04 OK05	1,422,450	Cole Bice	R
Total, Oklahoma	13,711,628 83,030 3,037,386 5,301,744 1,422,450 1,057,999 10,902,610 281,275		
OR01	281,275	Bonamici	D
OR02 OR03	4,031,413	Bentz Dester	R
OR04	2,021,354	Hovie (OR)	D
OR05	5,766,535	Bynum Safinas	D
OR06 OR-Multiple	479,004 2,021,354 5,766,535 486,711 194,000 13,860,294 1,061,560	oatinas	D
Total, Oregon	13,860,294		
PA01 PA03	1,061,560	Fitzpatrick	R D
PA04	741.170	Evans (PA) Desn (PA)	D
PA06	1,660,919 1,000,312	Houlahan	D
PA07	1,000,312	Mackenzie Brosnahan	R
PA08 PA09	476,025 5,070,862 1,758,527 4,744,048	Bresnahan Meuser	R
PA10 -	1,758,527	Perry	R
PA11		Smucker Joyce (PA)	R
PA14	3,282,675 1,636,385	Reschenthaler	R
PA11 PA13 PA14 PA15 PA16 PA17 PAMBDE	1,636,385 4,406,748 392,535	Thompson (PA) Kelly (PA)	R
PA16 PA17	392,535 104,580	Kelly (PA) Deluzio	R D
PA-Multiple	2.214.163	Ded20	
Total, remayivalin	28,602,639 16,363,663		
PR00 Total, Puerto Rico	16,363,663	Hernández	D
rotal, Fuerto RICO	10,003,003	U I SAVE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON OF TH	CHICAGO

District	\$ Withheld	Member	Party
RI01 RI02	113,600 362,605	Amo Magaziner	D
RI-Multiple	500,000	management of the second	
Total, Rhode Island	976,205		
SC01	845,881	Mace Wilson (SC)	R
SC02 SC03	1,931,485	Biggs (SC)	R
SC04	207,505 1,493,745	Timmons	R
SC05 SC06	1,493,745	Norman Clyburn	R
SC07	178,830	Fry	R
SC-Multiple	486,329		
Total, South Carolina SD00	8,349,825	Inhana (CD)	R
Total, South Dakota	5,838,404 5,838,404	Johnson (SD)	- 1
TN01	5,838,404 1,605,746	Harshbarger	R
TN02	172,214	Burchett	R
TN03 TN04	342,266 1,687,879	Fleischmann DesJarlais	R
TN05	78,126	Ogles	R
TN06	2,395,061	Rose	R
TN07 TN08	430,796 1,895,656	Green (TN) Kustoff	R
TN09	190,603	Cohen	D
Total, Tennessee	8,798,347		No.
TX01 TX03	943,114	Moran Self	R
TX03	481,629 450,157	Fallon	R
TX05	1.445.943	Gooden	R
TX05 TX06	1,444,949 230,500	Elizey	R
TX07	230,500	Fletcher	D
TX08 TX09	39,744	Luttrell Green (TX)	R
TX10	50,000 99,220	McCaul	R
TX11	100,644	Pfluger	R
TX12 TX13	19,352 807,364	Goldman (TX) Jackson (TX)	R
TX14	265,550	Weber (TX)	R
TX17	975,807	Sessions	R
TX19	1,573,993	Arrington	R
TX22 TX23	94,600 1,602,096	Nehls Gonzales Tony (TX)	R
TX25	985,197	Gonzales, Tony (TX) Williams (TX)	R
TX27	985,197 820,449	Cloud	R
TX28	316,372	Cuellar CVO	D
TX29 TX31	56,500 1,749,161	Garcia (TX)	R
TX34	1,212,195	Carter (TX) Gonzalez, Vicente (TX)	D
TX35	1,000,000	Casar	D
TX-Multiple Total, Texas	369,796 17,134,532		
UT01	741,364	Moore (UT)	R
UT02	1,517,545	Moore (UT) Maloy	R
JT03	3,435,803	Kennedy (UT)	R
UT04 UT-Multiple	401,910 68,621	Owens	п
Total Utah	6,165,242		
VA01 VA02	411.038	Wittman	R
VA02 VA04	201,574	Kiggans (VA) McCletan	R
VA05	3,573,284	McGuire	R
VA06	3,006,011	Cline	R
VA07	195,265	Vindman Griffith	D R
VA09 VA10	2,444,063 262,511	Subramanyam	D
VA11	48,827	Connolly	D
Total, Virginia	11,519,507		D
VT00 Fotal, Vermont	10,223,996	Balnt	D
WA02	5,192,069	Larsen (WA)	D
VA03	731,727	Perez	D
VA04 VA05	5,536,138 936,923	Newhouse	R
WA06	310 124	Baumgartner Randall	D
VA07	706,860	Jayapal	D
VA08	706,860 373,222	Schrier	D
WA09 WA10	250,000 119,823	Smith (WA) Strickland	D
Fotal, Washington	14,165,886		-12-74
W/01	15,000	Steil	R
W)02	1,225,490	Pocan Van Orden	R
W103 W105	4,240,058 30,600	Van Orden Fitzgerald	R
W106	1,116,411	Grothman	R
W106 W107	1,116,411 5,760,797	Tiffany	R
W108	2,435,958	Wied	R
WI-Multiple Fotal, Wisconsin	876,014 15,700,328		The state of the s
VV01		Miller (WV)	R
VV02	3,762,334 9,336,114 2,491,295	Moore (WV)	R
Total, West Virginia	9,336,114	Hageman	R
WY00			

Data retrieved and compiled from USASpanding.gov on February 10, 2025 for awards funded from TAFS 012-1908 22/31; 012-1908 23/31; 012-1908 24/31; end 012-1908 25/31.

**Grand Total Stolen:** 

666,652,707

# TRUMP AND UNCHECKED BILLIONAIRE MUSK STEAL FROM AMERICAN FARMERS

**ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY INCENTIVES PROGRAM (EQIP)** 

Included below is a breakdown of EQIP funding being held at risk in each congressional district. The numbers shown are the summation of the amounts awarded, but not yet delivered, to EQIP recipients from the Inflation Reduction Act. For example, as of February 20, 2025, in Georgia's 2nd Congressional District (GA-02), represented by Ranking Member Sanford D. Bishop, the Trump-Musk freeze has stolen \$3.6 million of promises made to rural small business and farmers.

AKOO   G6.615   Begich	R D R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R
ALOI	D R R R R D D R R R R
ALO3	R R R R D R R R R R
ALOB   101,774 Palmer	R R D R R R R R
ALOB   101,774 Palmer	R D R R R R R R
Al-Multiple 202.317	R R R R R
Total, Ababama 17,668,988 ARO 1 13970,461 Crawford ARO0 13,970,461 Crawford ARO0 3.997,291 Hill (ARI) ARO0 3.997,291 Hill (ARI) ARO0 2.356,165 Womack ARO0 10,971,220 Westerman Total, Arkansas 31,95,166 ARO0 3.212 Radewagen ARO0 4.201 American Samoa 3.212 Radewagen ARO0 4.201 American Samoa 3.212 Radewagen ARO0 4.201 ARO0 4.74,678 Samoto 4.201 ARO0 4.74,678 Samoto 4.201 ARO0 4.74,678 Samoto 4.201 ARO0 4.201 AROO 4.2	R R R R R D R
AR02 3.997.291 His (AR) AR03 2.256.185 Womack AR04 10.971.220 Westerman Total, Arkansas 31.195.156 AS00 3.212 Radewagen Total, American Samoa 3.212 A201 28.329 Schweibert A201 50.507 Crane A203 50.507 Crane A204 115.291 Signe (AZ) A207 186.173 Grighya A208 7.1934 Hamadeh (AZ) A209 17.561 Gosar A208 7.186.173 Grighya CA01 4.474.195 Gosar A208 7.186.509 McGrighya CA02 5.335.491 Huffman CA03 2.820.709 Killy (CA) CA04 1.782.851 Thompson (CA) CA05 7.26.569 McGriock CA07 2.21.603 Bosar CA08 21.74615 Gavennedi CA08 4.90.611 Harder (CA) CA09 4.90.611 Harder (CA) CA09 4.90.611 Harder (CA) CA09 4.90.611 Harder (CA)	R R R R R D R
APR03	R R R D R
Total, Arkansas   31,195,156   Arkansas   31,195,156   ASOO   Total, American Samoa   3,212   Radewagen   Total, American Samoa   3,212   Radewagen   A201   3,212   Schwebert   4,201   4,202   90,5507   Crane   A204   74,678   Stanton   A205   115,291   Biggs (AZ)   A206   2,615,176   Ciscomari   A207   189,173   Gripho   A207   189,173   Gripho   A209   71,931   Hamsdeh (AZ)   A209   A47,193   LaMatis   A209   A47,193   LaMatis   A209   A30,079   Gisy (CA)   A30,079   Gisy (CA)   A30,079   Gisy (CA)   A30,079   Gisy (CA)   A30,079	R R D R
Total, American Samoa   3,212   Azon	R R D R
10,291   5,095   10,27	R D R
10,291   5,095   10,27	R R
10,291   5,095   10,27	R
AZO7   188,173 Grights	
AZ-Multiple 461.546  AZ-Multiple 461.546  CA01 4.462.304 LAWIN  CA02 5.335.491 Huffman  CA03 2.800.709 Killey (CA)  CA04 1,782.851 Thorpison (CA)  CA05 7.875.809 McClarack  CA06 40.694 Bera  CA07 281.603 Matsu  CA08 217.616 Garanendi  CA09 440.611 Harder (CA)  CA09 450.611 Harder (CA)  CA09 450.611 Harder (CA)	D
AZ-Multiple 461.546  AZ-Multiple 461.546  CA01 4.462.304 LAWIN  CA02 5.335.491 Huffman  CA03 2.800.709 Killey (CA)  CA04 1,782.851 Thorpison (CA)  CA05 7.875.809 McClarack  CA06 40.694 Bera  CA07 281.603 Matsu  CA08 217.616 Garanendi  CA09 440.611 Harder (CA)  CA09 450.611 Harder (CA)  CA09 450.611 Harder (CA)	R
CA01 4,482,394 LAVAEE CA02 5,335,491 Hoffman CA03 2,820,709 Kley (CA) CA04 1,782,851 Thorpican CA05 7,875,809 McClerock CA05 7,875,809 McClerock CA06 40,694 Bera CA07 281,603 Matsu CA08 217,615 Garanendl CA09 440,611 Horder (CA) CA09 450,611 Horder (CA) CA09 5,5681 DeSamler	A SHIP IS
CA04 1,782.851 Thompson (CA) CA05 7,875.809 McClortock CA06 40,694 Bera CA07 281,603 Matsul CA08 217,615 Garamendi CA09 430,611 Horder (CA) CA10 256,681 DeSawhier	R
CA04 1,782.851 Thompson (CA) CA05 7,875.809 McClortock CA06 40,694 Bera CA07 281,603 Matsul CA08 217,615 Garamendi CA09 430,611 Horder (CA) CA10 256,681 DeSawhier	D R
CAO6 40,694 Bera CAO7 28,603 Matsul CAO8 217,615 Garamendi CAO9 490,611 Harder (CA) CA10 256,681 DeSauhier	D
CA07         281,603         Matsul           CA08         217,615         Garamendi           CA09         430,611         Harder (CA)           CA10         256,681         DeSaulvier	R
CA09 430,611 Harder (CA) CA10 256,681 DeSaulnier	D
CA10 256,681 DeSaulnier	D
	D
CA12 402,057 Simon	D
CA13 2,288,921 Gray CA14 593,510 Swalvell	D D
CA15 196 491 Mullin	D D
CA17 756,617 Khanna	D
CA18 906,645 Lofgren CA19 998,642 Panetta	D
CA20 1,433,839 Fong	R
CA21 934,505 Costa CA22 121,581 Valadao	R
CA23 304,358 Obernoite	R
CA25 45,594 Ruiz	D
CA26 108,568 Brownley CA27 4,438 Whitesides	D
CA30 16,387 Friedman	D
CA33 1,088 Aquilar CA34 2,526 Gomez	D
CA35 20,094 Torres (CA)	D D
CA37 79,122 Kamlager-Dove	D
CA41 2,319 Calvert	R
CA45 13,811 Tran	D
CA48 319,082 Issa CA50 12,348 Pelers	R
CA52 175,726 Vergas CA-Multiple 489,198	D
Total California 34.817.803	Charles of
CO01 67,468 DeGette CO02 1,929,945 Neguso	D
CO03 1,881,764 Hurd (CO)	R
CO05 600,282 Crank	R
CO06 226,259 Crow CO07 4,243,832 Pettersen	D
	R
CO-Multiple 61,715 Total, Colorado 27,589,434	0.000
CT01 157,300 Larson (CT)	D
CT02         4,261,805         Courtney           CT03         32,494         DeLauro           CT04         102,817         Himes	D
CT04 102,817 Himes CT05 428,306 Hayes	D
CT-Multiple 24,295	
Total, Connecticut 5,007,018 DC00 20,525,819 Norton	D
Total, District of Colombi 20,525,819	D
Total, Delaware 2,520,887	D
FL01 3,686,114	R
FL03 785,483 Cammack	R
FL04 94,138 Bean (FL) FL05 37,530 Rutherford	R
FL06 144,435	17.00
FL07 35,385 Mits FL08 133,893 Haridopolos	R
FL09 295,752 Soto	D
FL10 107,980 Frost FL11 1,207,733 Webster (FL) FL12 310,794 Bilirakis	R
FL12 310,794 Bilrakis FL13 31,818 Luna	R
FL14 124,505 Castor (FL)	D
FL15 68.859 Lee (FL) FL16 94,888 Buchanan	R
FL17 99,914 Steube	R
FL19 12,379 Donalds	R
FL21 49,733 Mast FL22 73,106 Frankel, Lois (FL)	R
FL23 19,143 Moskowitz	D
FL25 4,775 Wasserman Schult FL27 131,029 Salazar	z D
FL28 63,579 Gimenez	R
FL-Multiple 692,262 Total, Florida 13,190,423	Charles of
GA01 1,590,357 Certer (GA)	R
GA02 3,625,546 Bishop GA03 2 489,255 Jack	R
GA04 288,158 Johnson (GA) GA05 8,168 Wilfams (GA)	D D
GA05 8,166 Williams (GA) GA06 1.867 McBath	D

CAUGAS	District	\$ Withhield	Member McComick	Party R
SA10	GA08	7,140,307	Scott, Austin (GA)	R
SALES	GA09	3,777,844	Chyde Collins	
GA13	GA11	762,184	LOUGETHER	R
1999.66   1,097.723   1,097.06   1,097.723   1,097.7	GA13	5,290	Scott, David (GA)	
Teal, Georgia   28,015,001   1001   2412,40   Coses   D   1002   24,053,011   Tokoda   D   1102   24,053,011   Tokoda   D   1002   24,053,012   Tokoda   D   1002   24,053,012   Tokoda   D   1002   25,056,770   Tokoda   D   1002   25,056,770   Tokoda   D   1002   25,056,770   Tokoda   D   1002   25,056,770   Tokoda   D   1003   D   1004	GA14	1,199,486		R
Hilb2	Total, Georgia	28,015,801		100
H.Muchipie	HI01		Case Tokuda	
AMAS	HI-Multiple	159,305		
AMAS	IA01	4,219,358	Miler-Meeks	R
ADM	IA02	10,559,819	Hinson	
Total, Jowa   26,425,186	IAO4	7,821,526	Feenstra	
DOMBIGN   Company   Comp	IA-Multiple	26,170 26,425,186		
10-Mulbole	D01	3,833,259		
Total,   Idaha	ID02 ID-Multiple	3,286,987	Simpson	R
1005	Total, Idaho	7,125,172	AND THE PERSON NAMED IN	la Caracia
1.05	L02	2,506,770	Kelly (IL)	
1.07	IL05	5,565	Quigley	
1.10	L07	185,910	Davis (IL)	D
1.12	L10	83,527	Schneider	
1.15	1.12	8.581,065	Bost	R
1.16		755,684		D
1.91	L15	2,731,720	Miler (IL)	R
1.4butphis	L16	1,914,015		D
1991	L-Multiple	107,525		S/2 (15)
MO2	N01	120,595	Moan	
NOS	N02	1,243,163	Yakym	
No.	N04	1,574,263	Baird	R
Note	N05	275,626 872,032	Spartz	
NOS	N07	587,903	Carson	D
Fold   Mindland   14,969,609	N09	3,054,620	Messmer Houchin	
(\$9.03	N-Multiple	67,590		
(\$9.03	KS01	15,348,594	Mann	
SMultpipe   278,159	(S02	3,009,034	Schmidt	
Fold   Manusa   239,65,933   Child	(504	4,686,977	Estes	
CYO2         4,390,865         Cuttries         R           YO3         4.9,14         McGaney         D           YO4         2.23,335         Massio         R           YO5         1,784,27         Ropers (N)         R           YO5         1,895,93         Sea         R           A01         2,950         Seatso         R           A02         8,250         Carter (A)         D           A03         1,197,599         Hogons (A)         R           A04         2,198,702         Johnson (A)         R           A05         6,768,333         Letow         R           A05         6,768,333         Letow         R           A06         955,840         S           M01         1,803         Hogon         D           M02         1,804,841         R         D           M03         1,192         Trahan         D           M04         1,193         McGrown         D	(S-Multiple	228,159	CONTRACTOR OF THE	12 THE TAIL
(YO4	CY01	6,431,088	Corner	
(YO4	(Y02 (Y03	42.914	McGarvey	
VYO6	CY04	2,233,396	Massio	R
Total, Nemucky	(Y05 (Y06	1,578,427	Rogers (KY) Barr	
A01 23,950 Scalas R A02 88,250 Garter (LA) D A03 1,197,698 Hogoris (LA) R A04 2,198,702 Garter (LA) R A05 3,197,698 Hogoris (LA) R A06 3,798,351 Lettow R A07 1,197,698 Hogoris (LA) R A08 3,798,351 Lettow R A09 1,197,350 Lettow R	CY-Multiple	549,400		
AND 2,199,02 Jordisco (Ly) R. A.	A01	23,960	Scalise	
AND 2,199,02 Jordisco (Ly) R. A.	A02	1 197 598	Carter (LA)	
A-Mutple  955.640  1001. 1,040,052 Neal  1001. 1,340,052 Neal  1001. 1,340,052 Neal  1002. 1,143,333 NeGoven D  1003. 111,029 Trahan  1003. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1004. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1005. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1006. 111,057 Keetna D  1007. 111,057 Keetna D  1009. 111,057 Keetna	AU4	2,150,702	Johnson (LA)	R
A-Mutple  955.640  1001. 1,040,052 Neal  1001. 1,340,052 Neal  1001. 1,340,052 Neal  1002. 1,143,333 NeGoven D  1003. 111,029 Trahan  1003. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1004. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1005. 100,052 Clark (MA) D  1006. 111,057 Keetna D  1007. 111,057 Keetna D  1009. 111,057 Keetna	A05	6,768,338 3,897,851	Letlow	R
1,001	A-Multiple	955 840		
1,001	MA01	1,340,052	Neal	D
1,001	MA02	1,143,333	McGovern	
1,001	AA04	81.590	Auchincloss	D
1,000   3,292,198   Hards (MD)   R   1,000   3,921,198   Hards (MD)   R   1,000   3,1676,423   Effeth   D   1,000   1,676,423   Effeth   D   1,000   1,676,423   Effeth   D   1,000   1,676,423   Effeth   D   1,000   1,241,191   Hoyer   D   1,000   1,241,191   Hoyer   D   1,000   2,236,203   McClain Delancy   D   1,000   2,236,203   McClain Delancy   D   1,000   1	AA05	106,235	Clark (MA)	
1,001	409 00AN	11,157	Keating	
195.Mayle    785.065   195.18   195.1		2,898,689	Parameter of the second	R
195.Mayle    785.065   195.18   195.1	(D02	904,541	Olszewski	D
195.Mayle    785.065   195.18   195.1	MD04	7,186	Ivey	D
195.Mayle    785.065   195.18   195.1	AD05	1,242,191	Hoyer McClain Delegan	D
195.Mayle    785.065   195.18   195.1	/ID08	238,980	Raskin	
	AD-Multiple Total Maryland	786,065 10 545 881	Translation of the same	The Table
Total, Maine   8,374,896   1001   1007,205   Bergman   R   1002   2,746,460   Modernam   R   1002   2,746,460   Modernam   R   1003   37,942   Schottlerh   D   1008,55   1008,56   Modernam   R   1009,56   Modernam   R	VE01	407,959	Pingree	
MP	IEO2 Total, Maine	8,337,896		D
1933   37,842   Scholten   D   1944   1,248,232   R   1,248,	d01	972,636	Bergman	
185294   Dirage  D   Dirage	di02	2,746,480 37,842	Scholten Scholten	D
115.294   Drog#   Dr	1104	1 210 222	Mulmanan	R
1,552,061   Barett   R   1,052,061   Barett   R   1,052,061   Barett   D   1,052,061   Barett	(106	113,294	Dinget	D
1099	d107 d108	1,562,061	Barrett	
113	(109	1,466,868	McClain	R
Application	AI10 AI11	10,352	Stevens	
113	1112	29,419	Tlab	D
rotal, Michigan         12,365,035           R/B01         3,416,444         Firstad         R           R/B02         537,584         Crid         D           R/B03         157,383         Moraton         D           R/B04         2,555,352         McCotorm         D           R/B05         23,719         Onar         D           R/B03         205,572         Enrore         R           R/B03         2,766,535         Studber         R           R/B04         101,542         Studber         R           R/B04         101,542         Studber         D           R/B05         2,455         Bel         D           R/B07         2,455         Bel         D           R/B03         4,412,562         Onder         R           R/B03         4,412,562         Onder         R           R/B03         4,445,347         Afred         R	Al-Mukiple	25,101 7,808	nanedar	υ
MNO2	otal, Michigan	12,365,035	Einstad	
167,735   Morison   D   167,735   Morison   D   160,40	4N02	537,594	Craiq	D
23,719 Omar   D.	ANO3	157 735	Morrison	D
1005	M105	23,719	Omar	D
MN08   2,766,635 Statuber   R   MX-Multiple   101,542   Total,   Mlanesota   22,408,030   Mlanesota   22,408,030   Mlanesota   24,245   Bel   D   10002   604,712   Wagner   R   10003   4,412,562   Onder   R   1004   4,455,347   R   1004   4,455,347   R   1004   1004   1005   R   1006   1005	4N06 4N07	609,597	Emmer	
\(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	(N08	2,766,635		
MO04 4,455,347 Alford R	AN-Multiple Total, Minnesota	101.542	British British	Andrew State
MO04 4,455,347 Alford R	1001	4,245		
AOO4 4,455,347 Alford R	MO02 MO03	4,412,562	Wagner Onder	R
1005 116,191 Cleaver D	1004	4,455,347	Alford	R
MO06 11,008,025 Graves R		116,191	Cleaver Graves	

District	\$ Withheld	Member	Party
MO07	1,835,702 16,515,246	Burlison	R
MO-Multiple	16,515,246	Smith (MO)	R
Total, Missouri	794,488 39,746,519	A CONTRACTOR OF THE	
Total, Missouri MP00	12,044	King-Hinds	R
Total, N. Mariana Islands	12,044 4,487,967	THE CO.	R
MS01 MS02	4.391,485	Kelly (MS) Thompson (MS)	D
MS02 MS03 MS04	8,064,026	Guest	R
MS04	1,006,552	Ezell	R
Total, Mississippi MT01	17,950,030 1,745,811 10,094,372	Zinke	R
MT02	10,094,372	Downing	R
Total, Montana NC01 NC02 NC03 NC04	11,040,102		men.
NC01	2,976,193	Davis (NC)	D
NC02 NC03	73,656 2,213,040	Ross Murphy	R
NC04	134,700	Foushee	D
NC03	1,290,489	Foox	R
NC06	167,854 401,677	McDowell Rouzer	R
NC07 NC08 NC09	1,293,446	Harris (NC)	R
NC09	1,293,446 759,294	Hudson	R
NC10	1,218,814	Harrigan	R
NC11 NC12	1,066,363	Edwards Adams	R
NC12 NC13 NC14 NC-Multiple	307.779	Knott	R
NC14	682,632 2,780,987	Moore (NC)	R
NG-Multiple Total North Carolina	15 367 137	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	ACCUSANCE OF
Total, North Carolina ND00	15,367,137 31,520,647	Fedorchak	R
Total, North Dakota NE01 NE02 NE03 NE-Multiple	31,520,647		
NE01	3,531,029	Flood	R
NE03	499,674 12,090,150	Bacon Smith (NE)	R
NE-Multiple	197,615		
Total, Nebraska	16,318,469 539,134	Davies	P
Total, repraska NH01 NH02 NHMutiple Total, New Hampshire NJ01 NJ02 NJ03 NJ04 NJ05	539,134 1,883,248	Pappas Goodlander	D
NH-Multiple	4,156		
Total, New Hampshire			48.
NJ01	65,208 1,624,115	Norcross Van Dreit	D R
NJ03	1,624,115	Van Drew Conaway	D
NJ04	617	Smith (NJ)	R
NJ05	750,095	Gottheimer	D
NJ05 NJ06 NJ07 NJ08 NJ08 NJ11	2,867 1,681,454	Pallone Kean	D R
VJ08	1,885	Menendez	D
VJ11	7,771	Sherril	D
VJ12	77,432	Watson Coleman	D
Fotal, New Jersey	4,330,096 2,442,368	Stansbury	D
VM02	6,044,111 9,109,581	Vasquez	D
VM03	9,109,581	Vasquez Leger Fernandez	D
NM-Multiple	168,925		
VV01	17,764,985 12,771	Titus	D
VV02	817,918	Amodei (NV)	R
VV04	70,873	Horsford	D
Fotal, New Jersey VM01 VM02 VM03 VM-Multiple Fotal, New Mexico VV01 VV02 VV04 VV-Multiple Fotal, Newada	20,737 922,299		404.74
VY-MURPE Fotal, Nevada VY01 VY03 VY10 VY13 VY17 VY17	108,690 35,045	LaLola	R
VY03	35,045	Suozzi	D
VY10	2,546,300	Goldman (NY) Espaillat	D
VY17	220,996 50,656	Espaillat Lawler	R
VY18 VY19		Ryan	D
VY19	4,447,258	Riley (NY) Tonko	D
VY21	279,983 1,325,904	Stefanik	R
VY22	1.599.744	Mannion	D
YY20 YY21 YY22 YY23 YY24	660,752 864,360	Langworthy	R
VY24	96,113	Tenney Morelle	R
VY25 VY26	65,807	Kennedy (NY)	D
VY-Multiple	184.543		15076
Fotal, New York	12,486,829	Landsman	D
DH01 DH02 DH03 DH04 DH05 DH05 DH07 DH08 DH09 DH09 DH09	731,339 4,734,013 93,426	Landsman Taylor	R
OH03	93,426	Bealty	D
DH04	1,342,843	Jordan	R
OHOS	3,758,853 2,396,423	Latta Ruffi	R
DH07	2,396,423 272,709 504,029	Miller (OH)	R
80HC	504,029	Davidson	R
OH10	473,068	Turner (OH)	D R
OH12 OH13	218,546 2,620,710	Balderson	R
DH13	201,408	Sykes	D
DH13 DH14 DH15 DH-Muttiple	341,596	Joyce (OH)	R
OH-Multiple	773,334 140,896	Carey	R
Total, Ohlo	18,603,191	The second secon	
OK01	18,603,191 154,424	Hern (OK)	R
OK03	615,124 14,270,289	Brecheen Luces	R
OK04	2,268,189	Colo	R
DH-Mutiple Total, Ohlo DK01 DK01 DK01 DK02 DK02 DK03 DK03 DK04 DK05 DK04 DK05 DK-Mutiple Total, Oklahoma DR01 DR02 DR03 DR04 DR05 DR04 DR05 DR06 DR4Mutiple Total, Oregon	471,422	Bice	R
OK-Multiple	43,616	-	22.00
OR01	17,823,064 338,701	Bonamici	D
DR02	4,435,810	Bentz	R
OR03	559,193	Dexter	D
DR05	3,045,318 584,615	Hoyle (OR) Bynum	D D
OR06	490,749	Salinas	D
OR-Multiple	1,436		
Total, Oregon	9,455,822	Citrontelo!	D
PA01	289,432 129,840	Fitzpatrick Dean (PA)	R
PAGS	129,840 35,248	Scanlon	D
A06	875,184 756,381	Houlahan	D
7.07	756,381	Mackenzie	R
A09	42,315 2,189,470	Bresnahan Meuser	R
PA10	222.978	Perry	R
PA09 PA10 PA11	1,167,457 26,684	Smucker	R
PA12 PA13	3 520 177	Leo (PA)	D R
AIA	3,520,177 1,330,839	Joyce (PA) Reschanthaler	R
A15	2,018,938	Thompson (PA)	R
PA16	750.728	Kelly (PA)	R
900 207 557			

District PA17 PA-Multiple	\$ Withheld 49,223	Member Deluzio	Pa
Total, Pennsylvania	13,708,980		
PR00	3,669,798 3,669,798	Hernández	D
Total, Puerto Rico RIO1	289,367	Amo	D
RI02	783,542	Magaziner	D
RI-Multiple Total, Rhode Island	27,858 1,100,767	MINISTER STATE	211
SC01	1,100,767 1,451,768	Mace	R
SC02 SC03	1,979,373 5,931,958	Wilson (SC) Biggs (SC)	R
SC04	35,453 85,777	Timmons	R
SC04 SC05 SC06		Norman	R
SC06 SC07	1,690,658 2,669,865	Clyburn Fry	R
SC-Multiple	474,459		-
Total, South Carolina SD00	474,459 14,319,311 14,040,357	Johnson (CD)	R
Total, South Dakota	14,040,357	Johnson (SD)	en:
TN01	2,238,379	Harshbarger	R
TN02 TN03	1,975,094 462,398	Burchett Fleischmann	R
TN04	2,370,495	DesJerlais	R
TN05	2,766,177 715,786	Ogles	R
TN06 TN07	4,461,291	Rose Green (TN)	R
TN'08	9,826,883	Kustoff	R
TN09	143,996	Cohen	D
Total Tennessee	24,960,500 1,273,711	Moran	R
TX02	536,836	Crenshaw	R
TX03 TX04	402,393 927,900	Self Fallon	R
TX05	94.274	Gooden	R
TX06	1,545,026	Elizey	R
TX07 TX08	32.613	Fietcher Luttrell	R
TX08	200,395 52,744	Green (TX)	D
TX10	7,386,692	McCaul	R
TX11 TX12	8,635,653 18,394	Pfluger Goldman (TX)	R
TX13	7.014.970	Jackson (TX)	R
TX14	288,464 1,064,902	Weber (TX) De La Cruz	R
TX15 TX16	1,064,902	De La Cruz Escobar	R
TX17	122,803 1,527,197	Sessions	R
TX18	5,767 24,890,254	Turner (TX)	B
TX19 TX20	74,973	Arrington Castro (TX)	D
TX21	524,729	Roy	R
TX22	289,082	Nehls	R
TX23 TX24	2,810,905 396,491	Gonzales, Tony (TX) Van Duyne	R
TX25	862,760	Williams (TX)	R
TX26 TX27	24,411 815,989	Gill (TX) Cloud	R
TX28	2,015,975	Cuetar	D
TX29	3,421	Garcia (TX)	D
TX30 TX31	1,359,170	Crockett Carter (TX)	R
TX34 TX35	126.823	Gonzalez, Vicente (TX)	D
TX35 TX36	566,017	Casar Babin	B
TX36 TX37	18,328	Doggett Doggett	D
TX-Multiple	18,328 932,366		
Total, Texas UT01	67,050,261 1,593,736	Moore (UT)	R
UT02	4,065,725	Maloy Kennedy (UT)	R
UT03	1,176,810		R
UT04	881,647 7,717,918	Owens	R
		Wittman	-17 E
Total, Utah VA01	507,101	AAIROIMA	R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02	507,101 78,572	Kiggans (VA)	R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395	Kiggans (VA) Scott (VA)	R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA05	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395	Kiggans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGuire	R D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719	Kiqqans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGu're Cline	R D R R
Total Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA04 VA06 VA06	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719	Kiggans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGu're Cline Vindman	R D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA08	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140	Kiqans (VA) Scott (VA) McGellan McGu're Cline Vindman Beyer Griffith	R D R R D D
Total Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA09	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949	Kiggans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGu're Cline Vindman Beyer Griffith Subramanyem	R D R R D D R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949	Kiqans (VA) Scott (VA) McGellan McGu're Cline Vindman Beyer Griffith	R D R R D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA03 VA04 VA04 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA10 VA11 VA-Multiple	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268	Ksgaans (VA) Scott (VA) McCtellan McGove Cine Vindman Beyer Griffth Subremanyen Connolly	R D R R D D R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268	Kiggans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGu're Cline Vindman Beyer Griffith Subramanyem	R D R R D D R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA03 VA04 VA04 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA07 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA1	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 5,2326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268 109,473 109,473 109,473	Kiogans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellen McCuire Cline Vindman Beyer Griffth Subramanyen Connolly Plaskott	R D R R D D R
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA07 VA08 VA11 VA-Matiple Total, Virginislane VT00 Total, Virginislane	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 5,2326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268 109,473 109,473 109,473	Ksoams (VA) Scott (VA) McGlellan McGure Cline Vindman Beyer Griffth Subramanyon Connolly Plaskott Balint	R D R R D D R D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA09  Total, Uta, Utajula  VT00  Total, Usa, Virgin Island  VT00  Total, Varmont  WA02	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 24,404,268 109,473 109,473 4,657,340 441,972	Kiogans (VA) Scott (VA) McClellan McGuire Cine Cine Vindman Beyer Griftih Subramanyam Connolly Plaskott Basint Lørsen (WA)	R D D R R D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA10 VA11 VA-Mutpla Total, Virgin Islam VT00 Total, VI	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,993,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 4,404,268 109,473 109,473 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 44,1972 170,929	Ksoans (VA) Scott (VA) McGidellan McGure Cine Cine Griffon Subramanyem Connolly Connolly Lessen (WA) Perez	R D R R D D R D D
Total, Utah WA01 WA02 WA03 WA04 WA03 WA04 WA05 WA06 WA06 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 24,404,288 109,473 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340	Keogans (VA) Kocilira McGielten McGure Gine Gine Gine Griffen Lensen (WA) Pjaskott Lensen (WA) Ferez Newhouse Baumgantner	R D D R D D D R R R
Total, Utah WA01 WA02 WA03 WA04 WA03 WA04 WA05 WA06 WA06 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07 WA07	507,101 78,572 19,535 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268 109,473 4657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340	Keoams (VA)  Kock (VA)  McClellen  McClure  Cine  Cine	R D D R R D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  W02  W02  W03  W04  W05  W05  W05  W05  W05  W05  W05	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 5,226,719 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 1,657,	Keoans (VA) Kocillan (VA) McCicillan McCirire Cine Cine Cine Griffeh Subramanyam Comodity  Plaskott Larisn (VA) Perez Baumpartner Baumpart	R D D R R D D D R R R D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA08 VA08 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA12 VA0 Total, Us., Virgini Islam VT00 Total, Us., Virgini Islam VT00 Total, Us., Virgini VA03 VA03 VA03 VA06 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA08 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,224 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,322,949 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 109,473 4,657,340 109,473 109,	Kooans (VA) Koolins (VA) McGiellan McGuire Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gin	R D D R D D D R R D D D D
Total, Utah  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA09  VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,224 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,322,949 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 109,473 4,657,340 109,473 109,	Keoans (VA) Kocillan (VA) McCicillan McCirire Cine Cine Cine Griffeh Subramanyam Comodity  Plaskott Larisn (VA) Perez Baumpartner Baumpart	R D D R R D D D R R R D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA1	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,328,719 1,139,224 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 330 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,577,340 4,577,340 4,	Kooans (VA) Koolins (VA) McGiellan McGuire Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gine Gin	R D D R D D D R R D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA01 Total, Us., Virgin Islan VT00 Total, Us., Virgin Islan VT00 Total, Us., Virgin Islan VA03 WA03 WA03 WA03 WA03 WA04 WA05 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA08 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA10 VA09 VA10 VA09 VA10 VA09 VA10 VA09 VA10 VA10 VA10 VA10 VA10 VA10 VA10 VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,098,140 1,332,949 1,332	Keogans (VA) Keolellen McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellen McGuire Cline Cline Grind Subernanen Subernanen Connobly  Plaskott Bafet Lersen (WA) Perez Baumgartner Randall Jøyapel Sychiel Sindh (WA)	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  WA01  WA02  WA03  WA04  WA05  WA06  WA07  WA08  WA07  WA09  WA10  WA11  WATI	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,57,340 4,57,340 11,09,299 11,544 5,59,39 79,231 21,762 2,702 6,089,045 27,748	Keogans (VA) Koclains (VA) McCleillen McCurre Cine Cine Cine Griffith Subramanyem Comodly  Plaskott Basint Larian (WA) Freez Basinganter Frandail Jøyapal Schrier Srah (WA) Strickland	R D D R R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA09 VA01 VA01 VA01 VA01 VA01 VA01 VA01 VA01	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,098,140 1,332,949 5,730 330 340 24,404,268 109,473 4,657,340 4,	Keogans (VA) Keolellen McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellen McGuire Cline Cline Grind Subernanien Connoby Plaskott Basiet Lersen (WA) Perez Baumgariner Randall Jipyapal Scheller Strickland Stell Pocan	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA08  VA09  VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,326,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,140 1,332,949 5,730 24,403,288 109,473,310 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 4,657,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,057,340 1,058,	Keogans (VA) Keolellen McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellen McGuire Cline Cline Grind Subramanyan Comolby  Plaskott Basiet Lersen (WA) Perez Baumgariner Randall Jayapal Schellen Stellen Moore (WI) Fitzererid	R D D R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA09  VA09  VA11	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,398 2,033,146 2,336,719 1,132,254 1,132,	Keodans (VA) Keolellan McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellan McGuire Gine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine C	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA09  VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 2,093,146 2,336,719 1,139,254 5,627,397 11,088,141 11,082,	Keogans (VA) Keolellan McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellan McGuire Gine Gine Gine Grift Gorifot Subramanyan Comoby  Plaskott Bafiet Lersen (WA) Perez Baumgariner Randall Jiyapel Strike Strike Strike Strike Strike Strike Moa Strike Moa Gretinan Moa	R D D R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA09  VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,395 20,501 10,501	Keodans (VA) Keolellan McGuire Scott (VA) McGlellan McGuire Gine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine C	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah VA01 VA02 VA03 VA04 VA03 VA04 VA05 VA06 VA06 VA06 VA06 VA07 VA08 VA08 VA09 VA09 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA11 VA1	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,398 2,033,146 2,336,719 1,132,259 1,132,	Kooans (VA) Koolis (VA) McClisten McCivire Gine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine C	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA07  VA08  VA09  VA10	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,398 2,033,146 2,336,719 1,132,259 1,132,	Kooans (VA) Kooans (VA) McColellan McColellan McColellan McColellan McColellan McColellan McColellan McColellan Beyer Gine Subrananyen Connolly  Plaskott Basint Lersen (WA) Perez Reshort Baumgariner Ramdall Joppal Joppal Schrier Srräh (WA) Strickland  Stell Pocan Ven Orden Moore (WI) Feddingen Moore (WI) Feddingen Triflary Wied	R D D R R D D D D R R D D D D R R R R R
Total, Utah  VA01  VA02  VA03  VA04  VA03  VA04  VA05  VA06  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA08  VA09  VA09  VA11	507,101 78,572 19,535 185,398 2,093,146 2,033,146 1,032,	Kooans (VA) Koolis (VA) McClisten McCivire Gine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine Cine C	R D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D

Data retrieved from USASpending.gov on February 14, 2025 for awards funded from TAFS 012-2023/2031-1004; 012-2024/2031-1004; and 012-2025/2031-1004; for the Environmental Quality Incentive Program.

Grand Total Stolen: 800,297,557





# To Line the Pockets of Billionaires and Republican Donors, House Republicans Affirm their Values: Cruelty

- If Republicans have one legislative move, it's to line the pockets of their billionaire friends and CEOs. For \$1.8 trillion, Republicans could extend the 2017 tax relief to 98% of Americans, but instead, they are working to loot the people to the tune of \$5 trillion, cutting key programs that people rely on, all to give another massive handout to the richest among us.
  - o In fact, <u>the cuts</u> to SNAP and Medicaid total **\$1.1 trillion**, which is exactly how much it'll cost to enrich the top 1% (people making over \$743,000 annually).
- House Republicans have settled on cutting the programs that help keep American families afloat: Medicaid, ACA, SNAP, Child Care, and more.
- On the chopping block to pay for the Billionaire Boondoggle programs that make your health care affordable:
  - \$880 billion in cuts to Medicaid, which provides insurance for one in four children and is the single largest funder for mental health and substance use treatment in the country.
  - The Affordable Care Act is also in the crosshairs, and Republicans have no plans to extend the premium tax credits, which bring down costs, and saves families an average of \$6,432 annually.
  - o Their vision is a poorer, sicker America, with people stuck paying more for coverage while so many will lose coverage all together.
- Folks making less than \$50,000 will receive <u>288x less</u> than millionaires.
  - Workers and families won't be able to bridge the gap between
     Republicans skyrocketing costs on health care and the cost of living while only delivering meager tax relief.
- Republicans have doubled down on their failed playbook that has been a masterclass in broken promises. Their 2017 tax bill:

- didn't raise workers' wages: a recent study found that 100% of the corporate gains from the GOP Tax Scam went to shareholders and highpaid executives and absolutely nothing flowed to workers
- o **didn't pay for itself**, and Republicans' own hearing witnesses have unanimously said that they won't pay for themselves this time around.
- o **didn't grow our economy**, and CBO recently found that extending the GOP Tax Scam would stifle economic growth.
- o didn't spur manufacturing. In fact, manufacturing capacity contracted after the GOP Tax Scam, and only began to grow after Democrats' spearheaded the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, the Inflation Reduction Act, and the CHIPs Act.
- But what it did do—it was a Billionaire Boondoggle. And this time around is no different:
  - o The top .01% stands to gain \$278,000 per year but the average family could gain just \$1,000.
  - o For the top 1% that means an additional \$165 in their pocket every day, while the bottom 60% only get an extra \$1.10 per day, which won't be anywhere near enough to even close the gaps left from the massive cuts Republicans have in store for programs that people rely on, let alone delivering relief.

### **DIVE DEEPER**

# Republicans are Showering The Wealthy with Cash Again

- According to data from the Joint Committee on Taxation, extending the TCJA would provide the average taxpayer making over \$1 million/year with a tax cut of \$78,717.
- The average tax cut for a taxpayer making under \$50,000 is a mere \$273.
- That means taxpayers making \$1 million receive a tax cut **288 times** larger than taxpayer making less than \$50,000.

# Reckless Deficit Spending: \$4.6 Trillion (And Growing)

- JCT estimates that just making the TCJA permanent (without any other of President Trump's tax proposals) would cost \$4.6 trillion over the ten-year budget window.
- None of this includes other proposals floated by President Trump, such as:
  - No tax on tips (~\$200 billion)
  - o No tax on overtime (~\$2 trillion)
  - o No tax on Social Security benefits (~\$1.5 trillion)

- o 15% corporate rate for domestic manufacturing (~\$200 billion)
- Some SALT relief (\$\$\$\$)
- By some estimates, enacting Donald Trump's campaign promises could cost the fisc nearly **\$16 trillion**.

## Republicans' Child Tax Credit Leaves the Poorest Behind

- By insisting on an earnings requirement for the refundable child tax credit,
   the Republicans ensure that children who need the most help don't get it.
- Whereas the Democratic expanded CTC went to the needlest, and reduced child poverty by nearly 50 percent.

## The TCJA Continues to Tax Workers Into Poverty

- The TCJA made no changes to the EITC (and in fact reduced its value somewhat by slowing down inflation adjustments), meaning that the EITC of workers without dependents remains so paltry that paying their federal income taxes pushes them below the poverty line—they are literally being taxed into poverty.
- Democrats in the American Rescue Plan tripled the value of the EITC for these workers, ensuring that the tax code works for workers.

# The TCJA Does \*Nothing\* For Parents Struggling to Afford Child Care

- While billionaires and the largest corporations reap billions in tax cuts, the TCJA leaves the child and dependent credit totally unchanged.
- For most taxpayers, this credit is worth \$600 or \$1,200 (depending on the number of dependents), which barely makes a dent in the cost of child care.
- Democrats in the American Rescue Plan increased this maximum to \$4,000 for taxpayers with one child and \$8,000 for taxpayers with more than one child—assistance that can make a meaningful difference in the cost of child care.

## The Beneficiaries of The Pass-Thru Deduction Are Largely Wealthy Taxpayers

- JCT data shows that in 2024, **over half** of the benefits from the section 199A deduction went to taxpayers whose income was over **\$1,000,000** (\$33 billion out of a total \$59 billion expenditure).
- Per JCT data, 86% percent of the benefits of 199A flow to the highest-earning 10-percent of taxpayers.
- **So much for trickle-down:** Per JCT data, in 2022 \$75 billion in 199A deductions were claimed by taxpayers with **NO** employees.

# **Misplaced Priorities on Health**

## The Affordable Care Act Has Been Wildly Successful

- Too many Americans struggle to afford their everyday costs—and every family should be able to afford health care coverage.
- The Inflation Reduction Act <u>strengthened the ACA</u> by lowering premiums for the middle class by an average of \$2,400 per year.
- Thanks to the Biden Administration's enhanced premium tax credits, in 2025
  a record 23.6 million Americans selected a plan for coverage under the
  Affordable Care Act Marketplaces. This has resulted in historic lows in the rate
  of uninsurance nationwide and historic savings on insurance premiums.

## Republicans Are Once Again Intent On Sabotaging the ACA

- President Trump has already cut \$360 million in funding that helps people enroll in ACA health plans
- Extending the TCJA- and only the TCJA- leaves millions who benefited from the ACA expansion behind.
- As a result, more than two million people will lose access to health insurance entirely next year—and nearly four million people each year in the long term.
- Failing to extend this tax relief will raise insurance premiums for everyone and make quality care too expensive for millions of people with pre-existing conditions like cancer or diabetes.

# Tax Cuts For Billionaires, But Tax Increases For Working Americans

- If these vital tax credits expire at the end of this year, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) <u>projects premiums will increase</u> by more than four percent in 2026 and nearly eight percent each year in the long term.
- This means 19.3 million enrollees will see a tax increase.
- On average, families will see an increase of 7.9 percent in taxes, which translates to an annual increase of \$411 for a 21-year-old and more than three times that—around \$1,200—for older individuals.

# Republicans' Proposed Offsets Do More Harm Than Good

## **Trump's Tariffs: A Tax On Working Families**

 A recent <u>CBO Report</u> confirmed what we all know. Trump's blanket tariffs would make U.S. goods more expensive, add uncertainty for businesses, reduce productivity, and prompt retaliatory tariffs. • Trump's tariff plan amounts to a **\$3 trillion tax hike**, and American households would see their costs go up by an average of **\$1,560**.

## Repealing IRS Funding: Letting Tax Cheats Run Wild Again

- Democrats made an historic investment in the IRS in the Inflation Reduction Act, providing \$80 billion to ensure that IRS customer service was top-of-theline, and that the IRS had the resources to ensure that well-heeled tax cheats would be brought to account.
- Republicans have made no secret about their desire to repeal this funding- a gift to tax cheats everywhere.
- Rather than "saving" taxpayer money, the CBO estimated that repealing this
  crucial funding would actually add \$114 billion to the federal deficit

# Repealing The Inflation Reduction Act Green Energy Incentives: Bad For The Environment, Jobs, Investment and Energy Prices. Great For China!

- Per a recently published report by <u>Aurora Energy Research</u>, repealing the Inflation Reduction Act's energy generation credits would result in <u>\$336</u> <u>billion less investment</u>, <u>100,000 lost jobs</u>, and up to <u>a 22 percent increase</u> <u>in energy prices</u>.
- Repealing the EV credit would <u>gut the dramatic investments</u> made in the automotive industry's transition to electric vehicles: <u>\$129 billion in</u> <u>investments</u> in EV and battery plants, <u>creating 133,000 jobs</u>.
- Undercutting these investments not only harms our manufacturing sector, it threatens our national security and cedes the market to China.

# Democratic Policies Were Responsible for the Surge in Domestic Manufacturing—Not the TCJA

# The TCJA Is Not The Reason For The Recent Growth in Domestic Manufacturing

- Contrary to GOP's claim that TCJA is responsible for the recent growth in domestic manufacturing, manufacturing capacity actually contracted in the years following the passage of TCJA.
- According to the Federal Reserve, manufacturing capacity contracted 0.5
  percent per year, on average, from 2018 to 2021, expanded 0.4 percent in 2022
  and 1.2 percent in 2023. <u>Manufacturing capacity</u> is expected to grow by 1.3
  percent in 2024.

## Manufacturing Growth Skyrocketed Due To The Biden Administration's Policies

- U.S. has experienced a striking surge in construction spending for manufacturing facilities, with real manufacturing construction spending doubling since the end of 2021. This growth is unmatched anywhere else in the world.
- The surge coincides with a supportive policy environment for manufacturing construction: <u>the Bipartisan Infrastructure Act, Inflation Reduction Act, and</u> <u>CHIPS Act</u>, each providing <u>direct funding</u> and <u>tax incentives</u> for public and private manufacturing construction.
- For instance, American solar manufacturers have announced \$36 billion of investments in the last two years that are expected to create over 44,000 manufacturing jobs.
   67 new solar and storage manufacturing facilities have come online because of Biden's manufacturing policies, and 48 facilities are under active construction.

# **Doubling Down On Failed Trickle-Down Policies**

### The TCJA Did Not Boost Revenues

- Republicans point to increased revenue over CBO's 2017 baseline as evidence that the TCJA was a success.
- CBO itself has stated that the increased revenue was primarily due to unanticipated inflation- not the TCJA.
- In fact, even accounting for inflation, <u>corporations paid \$351 billion less in</u> taxes since the TCJA was enacted.

### The TCJA Is Bad for Economic Growth

- Contrary to Republican dogma on tax cuts, the non-partisan Congressional Budget Office found that by adding so much to the debt, Republicans' tax giveaways to billionaires <u>would crowd out private investment and reduce</u> <u>U.S. gross domestic product</u>.
- Republicans' claim that tax cuts can pay for themselves with economic growth is resoundingly refuted by the CBO analysis— a "dynamic score" of their tax cuts loses even more revenue in the long run.
- So upsetting was this news to Republicans, it led <u>Chairman Smith to attack</u> <u>the referees</u>, stating "CBO is simply not equipped to calculate the costs of the totality of all pro-growth policies that President Trump has pledged..."

### The Business Tax Cuts: Benefits For The C-Suite, Not The Workers

- Research first published in 2022 by authors affiliated with the Joint Committee on Taxation and Federal Reserve Board found that the benefits of the TCJA's corporate tax reductions did not trickle down to workers.
- In fact, the authors' conclusion was that "<u>earnings do not change for workers in the bottom 90 percent</u> of the within-firm distribution, but do increase for workers in the top 10 percent, and <u>increase particularly sharply for firm managers and executives</u>."

# **Doubling Down On Bad Tax Policies**

## Making The TCJA Permanent Means Making The SALT Cap Permanent

- The SALT cap <u>threatens important public services</u> funded by state and local taxes.
- The SALT cap <u>makes housing more expensive</u> (through increased cost of property taxes and nearly halving the number of households benefitting from the mortgage interest deduction)
- The SALT cap is particularly <u>damaging to middle class taxpayers</u> living in high-cost of living areas.
- The SALT cap is **double-taxation**, in clear violation of bedrock principles of federalism.

# Making the TCJA Permanent Ensures that Taxpayers Can't Deduct Commonplace Business and Other Expenses

- The TCJA eliminated itemized deductions for all business expenses of employees. For instance, union employees cannot deduct their union dues under the TCJA, and actors and athletes (not all of whom are wealthy) cannot deduct agents' fees.
- The TCJA eliminated the ability for taxpayers to deduct lawyers' fees from a settlement, resulting in outrageously high tax bills on "phantom income" from settlements.
- Additionally, after 2025 businesses will no longer be able to deduct the costs of providing meals for their employees (say, in an on-site cafeteria where workers work a late-shift).

## The TCJA Unreasonably Limited Casualty Loss And Theft Deductions

- The TCJA allows a deduction for uninsured personal losses only if those deductions were caused by a natural disaster. This leaves many taxpayers who suffered hardship due to no fault of their own hanging out to dry.
- For instance, in Connecticut, many taxpayers' <u>homes were rendered</u>
   <u>worthless</u> when their foundations crumbled due to faulty stone in a local quarry.
   <u>They cannot claim a deduction for this loss under the TCJA</u>.
- <u>The Washington Post</u> had multiple articles <u>about seniors who were</u> scammed, and who <u>could not claim a loss deduction under the TCJA</u>.

# Republicans' 'Greatest Hits' Arguments are Easily Debunked

"If we don't extend the TCJA, Americans are going to be faced with a \$4.6 trillion tax increase".

- Democrats are happy to work with Republicans to ensure that that middle class taxpayers don't get hit with a tax increase.
- In fact, the Treasury Department recently released data showing that we can hold everyone making less than \$400,000 year harmless for **\$1.8 trillion**.
- Republicans insist on **holding the middle-class hostage** by forcing an additional \$2.6 trillion in tax cuts for the wealthy.

# "The TCJA Boosted Wages By Record Levels"

- FALSE.
- The Congressional Research Service: "<u>There is no indication of a surge in wages in 2018</u>, either compared to history or relative to GDP growth."
- Economists from the Federal Reserve Board and JCT found that, to the extent
  the benefits of the corporate rate cut were passed down, none of them went
  to the bottom 90 percent of workers at firms receiving a tax cut. Instead,
  highly paid workers and executives received all of the wage gains
  resulting from the corporate rate cut.

# "Making the TCJA Permanent Will Boost Economic Growth"

- FALSE.
- None other than the non-partisan Congressional Budget Office has debunked this claim, finding that by adding so much to the debt, Republicans' tax giveaways to billionaires <u>would crowd out private</u> investment and reduce U.S. gross domestic product.





# Republicans Cut Medicaid to Line the Pockets of the Top 1%

To pay for their tax giveaway to billionaires, Republicans are planning to take an axe to Medicaid—to the tune of **at least \$880 billion** in cuts—affecting nearly every household.

- Over 70 million or 24 percent of the American people including children, pregnant women, older adults, people with disabilities, and individuals fighting addiction will be less healthy because of Republicans' draconian cuts.
- A majority of voters think <u>health care spending</u> should be increased, not cut.

These cuts are an attack on the safety and dignity of older Americans.

 Medicaid is the primary source of all long-term care services and supports including nursing home care and home and community-based services—and cuts mean less help and higher costs for older Americans and their families as they age.

Cuts to Medicaid—which pays for <u>2 in 5 births</u> in the U.S.—will only exacerbate the maternal health crisis.


 The U.S. has the highest rate of maternal deaths of any high-income country, and over 80 percent of maternal deaths are likely preventable—and gutting Medicaid will only put more mothers at risk.

Targeting Medicaid puts those struggling with mental health and substance use disorders at risk.

 Medicaid is the largest payer of mental health and substance use disorder services—and cuts put care for individuals struggling with behavioral health, and the steep drop in drug overdose deaths, in jeopardy.

### House Republican Plan Would Take Health Care and Food Assistance Away from People to Pay for Tax Cuts for Wealthy

House Republican plan could cut Medicaid by \$880 billion and SNAP by \$230 billion while giving wealthy people \$1.1 trillion in tax cuts



Note: People with incomes in the top 1% have 743,000 or more in annual income. All estimates are through 2034.

Source: Department of Treasury Office of Tax Analysis, The Cost and Distribution of Extending Expiring Provisions of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017

CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES I CBPP.ORG

An attack on Medicaid is an attack on the health workforce, **the backbone of our health care system**—and cuts risk destabilizing state and local economies.

 Medicaid allows lower wage health care workers that do not receive coverage through their employer to access care, and cuts will squeeze already tight state budgets—forcing hospitals, the economic hubs of many communities, to cut jobs or close altogether.

<u>12 states</u> have a Medicaid expansion trigger law, which means that if Republicans gut funding for Medicaid expansion, <u>4.2 million</u> would quickly lose their health care and the care of <u>21 million</u> <u>people</u> would be in jeopardy.

All this to turn around and give the same amount in handouts to the top
1%. HANDS OFF MEDICAID, REPUBLICANS!