

**Texas State and Local Assistance Projections 3.8.21**  
**(All Total in Billions)**

<b>State Government</b>	<b>Metro Cities</b>	<b>Other-Non Counties</b>	<b>Counties</b>	<b>States (Capital Projects)</b>	<b>Total</b>
<u>16.697</u>	<u>3.372</u>	<u>1.399</u>	<u>5.668</u>	<u>.484</u>	<u>27.620</u>

**County Allocation Projections, 03.8.21 (all totals in millions)**

Note: CDBG urban county adjustments use FY2020 HUD data to identify populations eligible for assistance, and may not include localities that relinquished their CDBG allocation in that year. County funding would be slightly altered to the extent that such cities apply for and receive funding as a metro city under this proposal.

Webb County	53.65
Zapata County	2.75
Bexar County	388.58
Hidalgo County	212.70
Starr County	12.54
La Salle County	1.46
McMullen County	0.14
Wilson County	9.90
Atascosa County	9.92

**Metro City Allocations Projections, 03.8.21 (all totals in millions)**

Note: Estimates use FY2020 HUD data to identify populations eligible for assistance, and may not include localities that relinquished their CDBG allocation in that year. Funding to localities on this list would be reduced to the extent that such cities apply for and receive funding as a metro city under this proposal.

San Antonio	326.58
Laredo	95.98
Mission	24.30

**Nonentitlement Allocation Projections, 03.8.21***(All totals in Millions)*

**Note:** *Note: Estimates use 2019 Census data to identify populations eligible for assistance, and do not include villages or other sublocal entities that may also qualify for funding. Projected amounts may be distributed to more nonentitlement governments than are listed in the breakdown to the extent that eligible nonentitlement governments have overlapping populations (for example, residents of a village government and town government in New York). What this means is that village AND town governments will be receiving a direct allocation of federal assistance, as intended by the legislation, but village amounts are not included because of the complications of calculating those amounts until a process is put in place to divvy up funds between overlapping governments. Identification of eligible governments and distribution of assistance across units with overlapping populations may reflect decisions made by the Department of Treasury and state governments.*

<b>City</b>	<b>County</b>	<b>Allocation</b>
<b>Palmhurst</b>	Hidalgo	.60
<b>Palmview</b>	Hidalgo	1.26
<b>Penitas</b>	Hidalgo	1.03
<b>Sullivan</b>	Hidalgo	.91
<b>La Joya</b>	Hidalgo	.94
<b>Rio Grande City</b>	Starr	3.16
<b>La Grulla</b>	Starr	.37
<b>Roma</b>	Starr	2.51
<b>Escobares</b>	Starr	.56
<b>El Cenizo city</b>	Webb	.69
<b>Encinal city</b>	La Salle	.13
<b>Rio Bravo city</b>	Webb	1.01
<b>Charlotte city</b>	Atascosa	.41
<b>China Grove town</b>	Bexar	.29
<b>Christine town</b>	Atascosa	.09
<b>Converse city</b>	Bexar	6.14
<b>Elmendorf city</b>	Bexar	.47
<b>Floresville city</b>	Wilson	1.75
<b>Jourdanton city</b>	Atascosa	.96
<b>La Vernia city</b>	Wilson	.31
<b>Live Oak city</b>	Bexar	3.60
<b>Lytle city</b>	Atascosa	.67
<b>Pleasanton city</b>	Atascosa	2.37
<b>Poteet city</b>	Atascosa	.76
<b>Poth town</b>	Wilson	.50
<b>Sandy Oaks city</b>	Bexar	1.02
<b>Selma city</b>	Bexar	2.43

<b>St. Hedwig town</b>	Bexar	.54
<b>Universal City</b>	Bexar	4.55
<b>Windcrest City</b>	Bexar	1.28
<b>Cotulla City</b>	La Salle	.90